1	State of Arkansas	A 75.11	
2	95th General Assembly	A Bill	
3	Regular Session, 2025		HOUSE BILL 1434
4			
5	By: Representatives Hudson, K	Moore, McCullough	
6	By: Senator Irvin		
7			
8		For An Act To Be Entitled	
9	AN ACT TO A	MEND THE LAW REGARDING THE PRESUMPTION	N
10	THAT AN AWA	RD OF JOINT CUSTODY BETWEEN PARENTS I	S IN
11	A CHILD'S B	EST INTEREST WHEN THERE IS DOMESTIC A	BUSE
12	OR COURSE O	F CONTROL PRESENT; AND FOR OTHER PURP	OSES.
13			
14			
15		Subtitle	
16	TO AMI	END THE LAW REGARDING THE	
17	PRESUN	MPTION THAT AN AWARD OF JOINT	
18	CUSTOI	DY BETWEEN PARENTS IS IN A CHILD'S	
19	BEST 1	INTEREST WHEN THERE IS DOMESTIC	
20	ABUSE	OR COURSE OF CONTROL PRESENT.	
21			
22	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GE	NERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKANS.	AS:
23			
24	SECTION 1. Arkan	sas Code § 9-13-101(c), concerning th	e award of
25	custody in a case conce	rning child custody or visitation whe	n a party has
26	committed an act of dom	estic violence, is amended to read as	follows:
27	(c)(l) If a part	y to an action concerning custody of	or a right to
28	visitation with a child	has committed an act of domestic vio	lence <u>abuse or</u>
29	course of control as de	fined under the Domestic Abuse Act of	1991, § 9-15-101
30	et seq., against the pa	rty making the allegation or a family	or household
31	member of either party	and such allegations are proven by a	preponderance of
32	the evidence, the circu	it court must consider the effect of	such domestic
33	violence abuse or cours	e of control upon the best interests	of the child,
34	whether or not the chil	d was physically injured or personall	y witnessed the
35	abuse, together with su	ch facts and circumstances as the cir	cuit court deems
36	relevant in making a di	rective pursuant to this section.	

1	(2) $\underline{(A)}$ There is a rebuttable presumption that it is not in the		
2	best interest of the child to be placed in the custody $\underline{\text{or care}}$ of $\underline{\text{an abusive}}$		
3	parent a parent or other party who has been found to have committed domestic		
4	abuse or course of control, as defined under the Domestic Abuse Act of 1991,		
5	\S 9-15-101 et seq., in cases in which there is a finding by a preponderance		
6	of the evidence that the parent or other party has engaged in a pattern of		
7	domestic abuse or a pattern of course of control.		
8	(B) The parent or other party that has been found to have		
9	committed domestic abuse or course of control has the burden of rebutting the		
10	presumption under subdivision (c)(2)(A) of this section by proving by a		
11	preponderance of the evidence that having custody or care of the child will		
12	not endanger the child.		
13	(3) If the court grants unsupervised visitation to a parent or		
14	other party who has been found to have committed an act of domestic abuse or		
15	course of control, the court shall:		
16	(A) Make findings as to:		
17	(i) Whether the parent or other party poses an		
18	ongoing risk of harm to the child's physical and mental wellbeing; and		
19	(ii) Why the parent or other party who committed the		
20	domestic abuse or course of control does not present a risk of harm to the		
21	child; and		
22	(B) Order safety conditions and completion of a domestic		
23	violence intervention program for the parent or other party's visits with the		
24	child to protect the child.		
25	(4) If the court orders supervised or unsupervised visitation in		
26	a case involving a parent or other party who has been found to have committed		
27	an act of domestic abuse or course of control, the court shall, no less than		
28	one (1) time per year until the child reaches eighteen (18) years of age,		
29	<pre>continue to review the:</pre>		
30	(A) Risk of harm to the child; and		
31	(B) Need to modify or supervise the visitation.		
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			