

RECEIVED
OCT 17 2024
BLR

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|------------|------------|--|-------------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 1 | James | Petersen | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | <p>§ I(8), could not locate precipitation volume forecasts on weather.gov.</p> <p>§ I(9), federal agency is misname, s/b United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3)(b), inadequate language.</p> <p>§ III(2), same recommendation as in § I(9).</p> <p>§ III(6)(c), "bypassing" the 15% slope requirement at the discretion of adjacent landowners does not seem scientifically justifiable. Review by the Department should be required.</p> <p>§ IV(3), same recommendation as in § I(9).</p> <p>§ VII, The "Buffalo National River" is a National Park Service entity and is not a stream; it does include much of the Buffalo River but also includes much adjacent land. It does not technically have a watershed-the watershed is association with the Buffalo River.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational CAFO permits should be voided.</p> | petersen.science.writing@gmail.com | Corrected typo in NRCS name. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. Remaining comments noted. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 2 | Lynn | Foster | unk | AR Audubon Society | 9/15/2024 | AAS supports the proposed rule's moratorium on hog farms in the BRW. Supports the Ozark Society and many other commenters that notification of pending swine CAFO permits to local stakeholders needs to remain in the rule. | lfoster5211@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 3 | Jerry | Masters | On behalf of the APPA Board of Directors | Arkansas Pork Producers Association | 9/13/2024 | APPA supports moving the administration of liquid animal waste management permits to the Department of Agriculture. Opposes paragraph VII-Watershed Specific Rules; would like it removed. This watershed specific rule sets a concerning precedent within the state of Arkansas for potential future restrictions on confinement operations. | arkpork@yahoo.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 4 | Doug | Stowe | unk | unk | 9/15/2024 | By no means should notification requirements concerning CAFOs in the Buffalo River area be removed. | douglasstowe@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 5 | Heather | Hudgens | unk | unk | 9/4/2024 | Continue the moratorium; no CAFOs next to any rivers. | hmh7@aol.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 6 | Carolyn | Hartman | Rogers | unk | 9/2/2024 | Disagrees with "the recent action to remove the public comment period in Act 824." | carheart50@outlook.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 7 | Emelia Ann | unk | unk | unk | 9/9/2024 | Do not damage the Arkansas environment by negating regulations that keep corporations from harming the earth. | emeliaann@hotmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 8 | Stephen | Hennigan | Fayetteville | unk | 9/14/2024 | Do not remove the moratorium on industrial swine production. | stevhhd@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 9 | John | Rankine | unk | unk | 9/13/2024 | Don't let unsupervised farm waste go unchecked. | johnrankine69@gmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 10 | Gina | Booth | Marble Falls | unk | 9/2/2024 | Extend public comment period. | gboothgbooth@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 11 | Rebecca | Corley | Jasper | unk | 8/29/2024 | Extend public comment period. | footholdfarm@yahoo.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 12 | Ginny | Masullo | unk | BRWA, Secretary | 8/29/2024 | Extend public comment period. | masulloginny42@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 13 | Barbara | Metzger | unk | unk | 8/29/2024 | Extend public comment period. | bashatwentyone@yahoo.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 14 | Marti | Olesen | unk | BRWA, Vice President | 8/29/2024 | Extend public comment period. | moleen12@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 15 | Larry | Olesen | unk | unk | 8/29/2024 | Extend public comment period. | moleen12@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 16 | Dane | Schumacher | unk | unk | 8/29/2024 | Extend public comment period. | schumacherdane@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 17 | Dane | Schumacher | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | Extend public comment period. | schumacherdane@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 18 | Dane | Schumacher | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | Extend public comment period. | schumacherdane@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 19 | Brian | Thompson | Fayetteville | Ozark Society, President | 8/31/2024 | Extend public comment period. | thompsonaddc@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 20 | Teresa | Turk | unk | Arkansas Ozark Waterkeepers | 8/30/2024 | Extend public comment period. | fayettevilleflavor@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 21 | Gordon | Watkins | Parthenon | unk | 8/29/2024 | Extend public comment period. | gwatkins@mybluehaventcabin.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 22 | Dina | Nash | Fayetteville | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Extend public comment period. Supports § VII(2), moratorium of CAFOs in the BRW. | dinacnash2014@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/City | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| 23 | Cindy | Jetton | Unk | unk | 9/8/2024 | Extend public comment period. Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | cindy_jetton@yahoo.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 24 | Cindy | Jetton | Unk | unk | 9/8/2024 | Extend public comment period. Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | cindy_jetton@yahoo.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 25 | Marion | Oates | Fayetteville | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Extend public comment period. Supports public comments of BRWA. | mtoateslaw@gmail.com | The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 26 | Sage & Tom | Holland | Fox | unk | 9/16/2024 | Feel strongly about maintaining required notification for all CAFOs. | sageandtomemail@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 27 | Angela | Usrey | Carrollton | unk | 9/15/2024 | Given the current environment in NWA with both Bitcoin mining as well as a number of the world's tallest industrial scale wind turbine projects (up to 698'), this is not the time to relax rules around CAFOs. Relaxed rules invite the worst types of businesses. | angelaishome@gmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 28 | Teresa | Pelliccio | unk | unk | 9/14/2024 | Heartbroken to learn that the legislature is adding a rule where there is no notification to surrounding property owners when a CAFO is installed. | teresapelliccioart@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 29 | Taylor | Vittitow | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/16/2024 | Hello, I am a concerned resident of Fayetteville, AR and member of the Ozark Society and was made aware of situation regarding new rule under Act 824 regarding liquid animal waste systems. I agree with The Ozark Society that notification of local stakeholders in regard to pending swine CAFO permits, needs to remain in the new rule replacing Reg 5 that Arkansas Poultry and Livestock is preparing. If anything is to be changed, transparency on such permits needs to be increased, not decreased. We do not need a recurrence of another surprise C&H permit getting approved without key stakeholders or the public being aware of it. Thank you for your consideration | taylorvittitow@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 30 | Derek | Linn | Fayetteville | unk | 9/16/2024 | I am strongly opposed to the proposed rule change(s) that would confer to the Dept. of Agriculture the authority to issue and modify permits related to Liquid Animal Waste / CAFO and other livestock facilities. This jurisdiction should be with the Division of Environmental Quality (DEQ). | derek.linn@gmail.com | Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 31 | Jerry | Williams | Hot Springs | unk | 9/16/2024 | I am writing to respectfully request that your Department maintain public notice for CAFO permits and modifications to permits to the same degree as the Arkansas DEQ did for CAFO operations. Your Department's rule will not properly inform the public for CAFO operation. In addition, the Agriculture Department should maintain a notification list for all parties who request to be kept informed of CAFO operations. | jerrywilliams1121@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 32 | Dane | Schumacher | Carroll Cty | unk | 9/16/2024 | I have a particular and keen interest in protecting groundwater and surface water quality in the karst environment in which I live and make my living. Based on the information provided above, I respectfully urge the Division of Agriculture-Arkansas Livestock and Poultry Commission to reconsider its role in the permitting process for liquid animal waste permits, particularly swine AFO's, regardless of size, and to consider whether it has the time, resources, and expertise, to administer the Liquid Animal Waste System permitting process within the context of the required planning process, site-specific considerations and necessary visits to ensure the protection of our waterways and groundwater. DEQ should have more than a consulting role in the process, and all permitting documents should be made available on either the Division of Agriculture or DEQ's online database for public input. Additionally, adjacent residents and landowners as well as local newspapers should receive notification. Resident taxpayers and concerned citizens do not need another round of litigious battles and/or a state sanctioned 6.2 million buyout agreement related to the ill-placed, insufficient design, and woefully lacking waste management protocols of one swine facility. | schumacherdane@gmail.com | Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. No changes to the rule are required. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 33 | Holly | Wiles | unk | unk | 9/16/2024 | I strongly disagree with the Arkansas Farm Bureau on them believing the moratorium on industrial swine CAFOs in the Buffalo River watershed should be removed. | hd_wiles@gmail.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 34 | Cindy | Rimkus | Madison Cty | unk | 9/15/2024 | It is disturbing to realize that Arkansas Department of Agriculture has proposed a regulation 5 that would lift the moratorium that Governor Hutchinson issued in 2019. | cindy.rimkus@gmail.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 35 | Glenda | Satterfield | unk | unk | 9/13/2024 | Keep public notification on industrial swine CAFO permits. | glendasatterfield@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 36 | Edward | Rod | unk | unk | 9/13/2024 | Let's not begin to loosen standards or we and the Buffalo will pay the price! | drrod.oms@gmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 37 | Robert and Cynthia | Martin | Midway | unk | 9/12/2024 | More transparency re notification of permits. | robcm2020@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 38 | Daryl | Boles | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | New rule "isn't a good neighbor policy!" | dboles1969@gmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 39 | Linda | Armerbingham | unk | unk | 9/7/2024 | No waste in the BNR. | lindaarmerbingham@gmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 40 | John | Ray | unk | unk | 9/11/2024 | Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the new rule. | johnnyray22@earthlink.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 41 | Chally | Sims | Mount Ida | unk | 9/12/2024 | Oppose lifting the moratorium on permits for CAFOs in the BRW. Proposed Reg No. 5 would also take public notices out of newspapers as well as doing away with the requirement of sending notices via certified mail to adjoining landowners, county judges, school superintendents and mayors. | chally@packratoc.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 42 | Steph | Gordon-Glassford | unk | unk | 9/13/2024 | Opposed to lessening the regulations of CAFOs and other developments. | sgordonglassford@gmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 43 | Tom | Kruse | unk | unk | 9/14/2024 | Opposed to limiting the public notification and comments on new CAFO permits. The nutrient loads need to be addressed like any other sewage treatment. | 02kruse@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 44 | Forrest | Dunaway | Mountain View | unk | 9/15/2024 | Opposed to not publishing permit notification in local newspapers and no letters to neighbors or governmental authorities. The public of the notices should be in local newspapers, the applicants should pay for the publication and there should be a public hearing so the public can assure itself the hogs are in an ideal place that does not disturb the land or water of Arkansas or the neighbors don't have to smell or hear such places. | gene.dunaway@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 45 | Rick | Border | unk | unk | 9/11/2024 | Opposed to the idea of having the Department of Agriculture involved with the decisions. The proposed rule for public notification is intent are all the proof I need that the "fox will be in the hen house." Will be contacting state rep, senator and governor's office. | rlborder@aol.com | Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 46 | Fran | Alexander | Fayetteville | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | ofda22@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 47 | Francie | Bolter | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | franciebolter@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 48 | Peggy | Butla | Hindsville | Ozark Society | 8/31/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | sunnypegs@aol.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 49 | Jacqueline | Courteau | Fayetteville | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | jbcourtneau@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 50 | Carolyn | Crook | Fayetteville | unk | 8/30/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | carolyn@packratoc.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 51 | Carole | Degginger | Newton Cty | unk | 9/1/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | caroledegginger@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-------|------------|------------------------|---|-----------|---|--|---|
| 52 | Steve | Driver | Ozark/Johnson Cty | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | smdriver51@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 53 | John | Ferguson | Conway | unk | 9/1/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | johnandgory@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 54 | Susan | Gateley | Ozark/Johnson Cty | unk | 8/30/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | sgateley55@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 55 | Larry | Haden | unk | Arkansas Chapter of Backcountry Hunters & Anglers | 9/2/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | arkansas@backcountryhunters.org | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 56 | Fred | Goldthorpe | Mayflower | unk | 9/10/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. Notices published requesting comment of purpose operation, notifying county judges, school superintendents and town mayors of areas downstream from the purposes operation. | fred.goldthorpe@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 57 | Kim | Smith | unk | unk | 9/11/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Needs to be more broad. | ksmith@elliottsmithlaw.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 58 | Donna | Peters | unk | unk | 9/11/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification to local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the new rule. | dmpdmp@windstream.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 59 | Mark | Robertson | unk | unk | 9/11/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b), notification to local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the new rule. | marobertson@mesalinc.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/City | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| 60 | Teresa | Turk | Fayetteville | Arkansas Ozark Waterkeepers | 9/14/2024 | <p>Opposes § II(3)(b), this inadequate notification is unfair to both the applicant and the public. Please include the following media outlets as a requirement to make public notification adequate and transparent:</p> <p>Notification in State and local papers:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields. 2. Letters to County Judges and quorum courts of site and spreading fields. Or, if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority. 3. Mayors of incorporated municipalities within 10 miles of facility and fields. 4. Superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO sites and fields. <p>All letters to the above should provide written notice by certified mail, with return receipt requested. Section III 6. D. Technical Requirements Section 6. (d) currently reads as follows "Application of manures shall not be made within 100 feet of streams including intermittent streams, ponds, lakes, springs, sinkholes, rock outcrops, wells and water supplies; or 300 feet of extraordinary resource waters as defined by the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission Regulation No. 2." AOW advocates for expanding the prohibition of manure application within 300 feet of a stream to a minimum of 1000 feet. Runoff and infiltration of phosphorus laden manure, particularly in karst areas, has been shown to contaminate streams when manure application is closer than 1000 feet to a stream, creek or river.</p> <p>Section VII. 2 and 3 Permanent Moratorium on swine CAFO's in Buffalo National River</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. We support the language which includes the prohibition of the issuance of permits for any new swine CAFOs in the Buffalo National River Watershed. In other words, we support a permanent moratorium on all swine CAFOs in the BNR watershed. 3. We do not support section 3, which states "This rule does not prohibit the Department from issuing a permit renewal or modification for a Confined Animal Operation in the Buffalo National River Watershed with an active permit as of the effective date of this rule." It is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste, either of which would be unacceptable. We believe the existing permits that are not actively being used, should be closed and reactivated immediately. This situation could be handled by the following: | turkster33@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 61 | Gwen | Bennett | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/8/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b); broader notification system should be used. | gwenbennettmasks@icloud.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 62 | Dianna | Neely | Lincoln | unk | 9/8/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b); broader notification system should be used. | diannaneely@hotmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 63 | Lowell | Collins | unk | Ozark Society, Sugar Creek Chapter | 8/30/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b); local stakeholders should be notified directly. | ossugarcreek@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 64 | Ginny | Masullo | unk | BRWA, Secretary | 9/8/2024 | Opposes § II(3)(b); same level of transparency of all Reg 5 permit records such as is currently available with Reg 5 permits under ADEQ. | masulloginny1@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 65 | Debbie | Davis | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/13/2024 | Opposes allowing swine or chicken permits on the Buffalo National River. | redscottie@cox.net | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 66 | Evan | Teague | unk | AR Farm Bureau Federation | 8/26/2024 | Opposes moratorium for CAFOs in the BRW. Small farm language needs to be added back in. | unk - in-person comment | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 67 | Jerry | Masters | unk | AR Pork Producers Association | 8/26/2024 | Opposes moratorium language for CAFOs in the BRW. | unk - in-person comment | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-------|---------------|------------------------|--|-----------|---|--|--|
| 68 | Brad | Green | Newton Cty | unk | 9/13/2024 | Opposes notification language, FOIA exemptions, and the removal of the moratorium on CAFO permits in the BRW. | bradgreen27@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 69 | Linda | Davis | unk | unk | 9/12/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to adjacent land owners as well as county officials and general public. | lindadavis49@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 70 | Ginny | Masullo | Fayetteville | BRWA, Secretary | 9/2/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | masuloginny42@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 71 | Paula | Matthews | St. Joe | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | paulajmatthews01@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 72 | Fred | Paillet | Bentonville | (UARK Adjunct Professor of Geosciences and USGS Research Emeritus) | 9/2/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | fredp@cox.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 73 | Necia | Parker-Gibson | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | neciap@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|-----------|------------------------|------------|----------|--|--|--|
| 74 | Bill | Pettit | Cotter | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | troutman08@suddenlink.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 75 | T.A. | Sampson | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | springfieldranch@aol.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 76 | Steve | Singleton | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | aerialobserver@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 77 | Camille | Smiley | Fayetteville | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | ccsmiley@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 78 | Charlie | Transue | Tulsa, OK | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | cj.transue@outlook.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 79 | Ellen | Turner | Rogers | unk | 9/2/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | ellenelizabethturner@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|----------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 80 | Emily | Valentin | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | emilycvalentin@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 81 | Bill | King | unk | unk | 9/15/2024 | Opposes relaxing the restrictions on CAFOs in the BRW. | billking34@gmail.com | |
| 82 | Lynn | Packham Larson | Carroll Cty | unk | 9/13/2024 | Opposes the change which reduced notification stakeholders regarding development of concentrated animal feeding operations. | lynnlarson448@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 83 | Meghan | Post | unk | unk | 9/10/2024 | Opposes the Department of Agriculture taking over CAFO permits. | meghanelgan7@gmail.com | Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 84 | Suzie | Bell | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/13/2024 | Opposes the lifting of reg 5 to allow corporations to into the BRW and establish entities such as hog farms without notifying their neighbors. | mightywarriorrocks@yahoo.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 85 | Heather | Wilson | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/14/2024 | Opposes the lifting of the moratorium on industrial swine in the BRW. | heatherjw176@gmail.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 86 | Kate | McCarty | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/13/2024 | Opposes the new rule that reduces the mean of notifying people when concentrated animal farming establishments are being developed. County, nearby cities, neighbors and area newspapers must be alerted. | katemc63@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 87 | Ralph | Langford | Lincoln | unk | 9/13/2024 | Opposes the proposed rule change that would eliminate advanced notice of new CAFOs. | evenstevens57@hotmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 88 | Debbie | Reay | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/14/2024 | Opposes to adding a rule where there is no notification to surrounding property owners when a CAFO is installed. | whipetdog@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 89 | Nicholas | Gibson | unk | unk | 9/11/2024 | Pig swine should not be allowed to flow into the Buffalo River. | nicholasblakegibsonphotography@gmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 90 | Brian | Thompson | unk | Ozark Society, President | 9/15/2024 | <p>Please accept and acknowledge receipt of these comments from The Ozark Society regarding the new rule that will replace E&E Regulation 5.</p> <p>Agree with retaining watershed specific rules prohibiting the issuance of permits in the BNRW.</p> <p>Oppose eliminating notification regarding permits to the local paper, county quorum court, etc.</p> <p>We respectfully request the following be published on the website after the completion of the comment period as per the Arkansas Administrative Procedures Act.</p> <p>(i) After the expiration of the thirty-day public comment period and before the effective date of the rule, the agency promulgating the rule shall take appropriate measures to make the final rule known to the persons who may be affected by the rule.</p> <p>(ii) Appropriate measures shall include without limitation posting the following information on the agency's website:</p> <p>(a) The final rule;</p> <p>(b) Copies of all written comments submitted to the agency regarding the rule;</p> <p>(c) A summary of all written and oral comments submitted to the agency regarding the rule and the agency's response to those comments;</p> <p>(d) A summary of the financial impact of the rule; and</p> <p>(e) The proposed effective date of the final rule.</p> | thompsonadcd@gmail.com | <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 91 | Karen | Pope | unk | unk | 9/14/2024 | Please act toward transparency on the whole issue. | karenlarsenp@gmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 92 | Mike | Harms | Green Forest, Carroll Cty | unk | 9/13/2024 | Prefers to be notified in advance of any industrial or large scale plans that may affect my water or way of life. | mikeharms82@gmail.com | <p>The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 93 | Bob | Billig | Etkins | unk | 9/11/2024 | Prioritize full public disclosure of all information. | bobbillig@gmail.com | <p>The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 94 | Faith | Pettit-Shah | Carroll Cty | unk | 9/13/2024 | Proper notice for all major development is crucial. | faithmichael@me.com | <p>The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 95 | Faith | Pettit-Shah | Carroll Cty | unk | 9/13/2024 | Proper notice for all major development is crucial. Everyone suffers from large industrial waste producing projects. | faithmichael@me.com | <p>The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 96 | Caroline | Rogers | Carroll Cty | Stop Wind Farms Carroll County | 9/13/2024 | Proper notice for all major development is crucial. Everyone suffers from large industrial waste producing projects. | stopwindfarmsar@gmail.com | <p>The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 97 | Bob | Billig | unk | unk | 9/8/2024 | Protect the BRW from CAFO run-off. Prioritize full public disclosure of all information about the issue, the language used should be plain and easily understood. | bobbillig@gmail.com | <p>The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |

| | FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-----|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| 98 | Roy | Wilson | Sheridan | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Protect the watershed. | roywilson@windstream.net | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 99 | Jean | Cazort | Little Rock | unk | 9/9/2024 | Recommends notification of CAFO permits in nearest newspaper, letters be sent to adjacent property owners, to county judges, school superintendents and local mayors within 10 miles of the site, posting notices at the site. No issuance of permits within the BRW of any tributaries of the Buffalo National River. | jeancazort@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 100 | Jim | Pfeifer | Little Rock | unk | 9/9/2024 | Recommends notification of CAFO permits in nearest newspaper, letters be sent to adjacent property owners, to county judges, school superintendents and local mayors within 10 miles of the site, posting notices at the site. No issuance of permits within the BRW of any tributaries of the Buffalo National River. | jimpfe6@aol.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 101 | Wade | Colwell | Fayetteville | unk | 9/13/2024 | Require CAFO operators to make public notice of their intents before approval is given to do so. | wadecolwell@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 102 | Pat | Matsukis | unk | unk | 9/16/2024 | Shame for trying to not notify the public. We, the people should make our own decisions based on all available information | patmatsukis@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 103 | Daryl | Botes | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | Stop polluting and leave it better than you found it. | dbotes1969@gmail.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 104 | Dianne | | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | Stop the hog farms. | diannesthomas@yahoo.com | No response is required. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 105 | Christopher | Fischer | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/12/2024 | Strongly objects to the proposed changes to the notification requirements affecting general public, communities and adjacent property owners. | cmxfisch@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 106 | Susan | Watkins | Parthenon, Newton Cty | unk | 9/15/2024 | Supports § VII(2) re prohibition against the issuance of permits for any new swine CAFOs in the BNRW. Opposes § VII(3) that does not prohibit the Department from issuing renewal or modification for a CAFO in the BNRW. | susan@myblueheavencabin.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 107 | Nancy | Deisch | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | buffaloridge ranch@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 108 | Mike | Faircloth | Bella Vista | unk | 9/8/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | arbearusn@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 109 | Judith | Griffith | unk | unk | 9/3/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | 9waterfall9@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 110 | Michele | Halsett | Fayetteville | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | michele.halsett@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 111 | Jerri | Holmes | Parthenon | unk | 8/31/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | aadaprez@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 112 | Jonna | Hussey | Fayetteville | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | jhussey13@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 113 | Cheryl | Johnson | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | cjbluebird@comcast.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 114 | Ragupathy | Kannan | Fort Smith | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | ragupathy@uafs.edu | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 115 | Adelia | Kittrell | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | adelia.kittrell@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. | |
| 116 | Sydney | Klinehenz | Fayetteville | unk | 9/8/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | svdmk@earthlink.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 117 | Patty | McLean | Judsonia | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | plm108@comcast.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 118 | Barbara | Mott | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | blmott2014@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 119 | Joanna | Person-Michener | unk | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | resiliencejo73@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 120 | Lance | Runion | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | roentgen1421@icloud.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 121 | Rebecca | Smith | Fayetteville | unk | 9/1/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | sbecca124@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 122 | David | Vandergriff | unk | (Commissioner, AR PC&EC) | 8/31/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | dvandergriff@qgtlaw.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes are required. |
| 123 | James | McMains | Little Rock | unk | 9/1/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided. | jwmac2@swbell.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 124 | John | Ray | Fayetteville | unk | 9/1/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided. | johnnyray22@earthlink.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 125 | Stephanie | Liechty | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Extend public comment period. | shyme161@shbcglobal.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 126 | Beth | Ardapple | Mt. Judea | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Extend public comment period. Supports public comments of BRWA. | bethardapple@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 127 | Jeanmarie | Mako | unk | unk | 8/31/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Nonoperational permits should be voided. | mako.blaisus@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 128 | Lissa | Morrison | unk | unk | 9/8/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is inadequate notification language. | morrisonlissa3@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 129 | Glenda | Allison | Berryville/Carroll Cty | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), CAFO permit renewal or modification. | gsallison56@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 130 | Lowell | Collins | unk | Ozark Society, Sugar Creek Chapt. | 8/31/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOS in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided. | ossugarcreek@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 131 | John | Remmers | Morrow | unk | 9/1/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided. Opposes § II(3)(b), extensive public notifications must be required. | remmers@pgtc.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 132 | Annee | Littell | Fayetteville | unk | 9/9/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided. Opposes § II(3)(B), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | unk-Rec'd by mail517.F.JohnsonFayetteville.AR.72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 133 | Marti | Olesen | Ponca | BRWA, Vice President | 8/31/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), nonoperational permits should be voided. Opposes § II(3)(B), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | molesen12@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 134 | Edward | Karcis | Fayetteville | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), non-operational permits should be voided. Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | mkarcis@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 135 | Mary | Chang | unk | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period. | mary.chang.02@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 136 | Jenny | Amussen | unk | unk | 9/9/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on issuance of permits for CAFOs in the BRW. | jennyinthegarden@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 137 | William | Laster | Little Rock | unk | 9/9/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on issuance of permits for CAFOs in the BRW. | elaster523@sbccglobal.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 138 | Joe | Neal | Fayetteville | unk | 9/9/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on issuance of permits for CAFOs in the BRW. | joecneal@att.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 139 | Anita | Schnee | Fayetteville | unk | 9/9/2024 | Supports § VII(2), moratorium on issuance of permits for CAFOs in the BRW. | unk - sent through Concerned Citizen Feedback | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 140 | Keith | Peoples | Pelsor | BRWA Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports both Ozark Society and BRWA public comments. | kpeoples77@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 141 | Brian | Thompson | Fayetteville | BRWA Ozark Society, President | 9/2/2024 | Supports both Ozark Society and BRWA public comments. Has requested all the other comments available to him for review. | thompsonadcc@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--|--------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 142 | Glenda | Moore | unk | unk | 9/16/2024 | Supports keeping the current rules in place that require local notification of adjacent landowners and the community of any agricultural or industrial development in the early planning stages. Recommends extending the moratorium on CAFO operations in the BRW. | mooreglenda523@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 143 | JL | Titus | Fayetteville | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports moratorium of CAFOs in the BRW. Extend public comment period. | jtitus2772@sbglobal.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 144 | Karin | Abenido | Maumelle | unk | 9/10/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFO permits. | karin.abenido@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 145 | Christopher | Blackall | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | christopher.blackall@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 146 | Mark | Brewer | Virginia | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | mbrewer582@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 147 | Robert | Brewer | Fayetteville | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | rb84@icloud.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 148 | Todd | Dannenfelser | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/1/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | tdannenfelser@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 149 | Jose | de Arteaga | Washington , D.C. | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | kenn.jose@comcast.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 150 | Crowley's Ridge Electrical Service, Inc. | | unk | unk | 9/8/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. | c_electricalserviceinc@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 151 | Rusty | Landry | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Non-operational permits should be voided. | rlandri@me.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 152 | Patrick | Lanford | unk | unk | 9/1/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Non-operational permits should be voided. | ecce38@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 153 | Sarah | Lewis | Washington County | BRWA | 9/3/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Extend public comment period. | sarahelainelewis@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 154 | Gina | Booth | Marble Falls | unk | 9/8/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § II(3)(b), notification language. Broader notification system should be used. | gboothgbooth@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 155 | Pamela | Stewart | Jasper | unk | 9/1/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), no permit renewals or modification to reopen hog facilities in BRW. Opposes § II(3)(b), notification of permits should be available where the general public will see them. | jampack1@mac.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 156 | Karin | Abenido | Maumelle | unk | 9/7/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | karin.abenido@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-------|-----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 157 | Mark | Cain | Huntsville | unk | 9/12/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 1558 CR 548 Huntsville, AR 72740 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 158 | Kay | Coley | Fayetteville | unk | 9/12/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 1027 N. Vandeventer Ave Fayetteville, AR 72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 159 | J.V. | Connors | Silver City, NM | unk | 9/11/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | jvcphd@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 160 | Sarah | Diffin | Farmington | unk | 9/13/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 246 Wolfdale Rd Farmington, AR 72730 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 161 | Frank | Head, Jr. | Fayetteville | unk | 9/5/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | ccisnw@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 162 | Frank | Head, Jr. | unk | unk | 9/5/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | ccisnw@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|---|--|
| 163 | Taylor | Hills | Siloam Springs | unk | 9/12/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 19516 Walker Rd Siloam Springs, AR 72761 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 164 | Barbara | Jaquish | Fayetteville | unk | 9/5/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | barbarajaquish@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 165 | Helen | Kling | Jasper | unk | 9/4/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | dreamaphoenix@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 166 | Barbara | LeRoy | unk | unk | 9/11/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | ayeshahagqqa@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 167 | Joe | Loper | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/12/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 34 Claymount St Eureka Springs, AR 72632 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 168 | Dorothy | Neely | Fayetteville | unk | 9/11/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 1244 N Mission Blvd Fayetteville, AR 72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|------------|----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 169 | Jarrod | Phillips | Fayetteville | unk | 9/12/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 945 S. Elmhurst Ave Fayetteville, AR 72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 170 | Shawn | Porter | Parthenon/Newton Cty | unk | 9/4/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | greensinger@fastmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 171 | Annie | Sates | Prairie Grove | unk | 9/12/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 735 E. Parks St Prairie Grove, AR 72753 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 172 | Sarah | Schoen | Farmington | unk | 9/13/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 246 Wolfdale Rd Farmington, AR 72730 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 173 | Bonita Lou | Sharp | Tonitown | unk | 9/12/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 1738 Steele Rd Tonitown, AR 72762 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 174 | Stephen | Smith | Fayetteville | unk | 9/12/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 1837 N Ripple Rd Fayetteville, AR 72704 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--------|----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 175 | Nancy | Starr | West Fork | unk | 9/12/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 176 | Linda | Roberts | Mountain Home | unk | 9/7/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | lewytiger@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 177 | Teresa | Turk | Fayetteville | unk | 9/11/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by mail 1408 W Cleveland St Fayetteville, AR 72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 178 | Peggy | Vyncke | unk | unk | 9/5/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | vynckep@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 179 | Amylou | Wilson | Fayetteville | unk | 9/4/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | hattiemcneil@hotmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 180 | Susan | Wilson | Fayetteville | unk | 9/13/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable. Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014. | unk-Rec'd by Mail 1833 E. Applebry Dr. Fayetteville, AR 72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/City | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| 181 | Jeanie | Wyant | Fayetteville | unk | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.</p> | <p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>424 E Johnson St Fayetteville, AR 72701</p> | <p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 182 | Stephen | Zisner | Fayetteville | unk | 9/13/2024 | <p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); language is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or could accept transferred waste, either is unacceptable.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3)(b); this is an inadequate notification procedure, need to incorporate the enhanced notification of 2014.</p> | <p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>14048 Cardinal Lane Fayetteville, AR 72704</p> | <p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 183 | Lynn | Foster | Roland | unk | 9/2/2024 | <p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); Non-operational permits should be voided.</p> <p>Opposes § ii(3)(B); Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.</p> <p>Opposes new language in Act 530 2023, § 15-20-111(d); every nutrient management plan should be subject to the FOIA.</p> | <p>lfooster5211@gmail.com</p> | <p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 184 | Mary | Chang | unk | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | <p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); non-operational permits should be voided.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3); additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.</p> <p>Supports full transparency of all permit records such as is currently available for Reg 5 permits under ADEQ.</p> <p>Opposes Act 530 of 2023; all permit records should be available under the FOIA.</p> | <p>mary.chang.02@gmail.com</p> | <p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 185 | Ellen | Cortley | Jasper | BRWA, Treasurer | 9/15/2024 | <p>Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW.</p> <p>Opposes § VII(3); non-operational permits should be voided.</p> <p>Opposes § II(3); additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits.</p> <p>Supports full transparency of all permit records such as is currently available for Reg 5 permits under ADEQ.</p> <p>Opposes Act 530 of 2023; all permit records should be available under the FOIA.</p> | <p>footholdfarm@yahoo.com</p> | <p>This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-----------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 186 | Jack | Stewart | Jasper | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports moratorium on CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes notification language. Additional notification should be sent to local stakeholders of CAFO permits. | fellowshipofthewings@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 187 | Erin | Wall | unk | BRWA | 9/13/2024 | Supports moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs within the BRW. Supports the position of the BRWA. | erinwall@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 188 | Steve | Batloun | unk | unk | 9/11/2024 | Supports moving Reg. 5 to Department of Agriculture. The language in § VII has already been addressed by the legislature years ago and any language referring to a moratorium was supposed to be stricken from Reg. 5 (2019). Opposes this language since it singled out swine production and the CAFO language has been removed. There are poultry farms in operation in the watershed and there is no reasonable or scientific reason to believe that swine production would be any more harmful to the environment. | stevebatloun@gmail.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 189 | Carol | Florida | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/14/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments re notification of local stakeholders in regarding to pending swine CAFO permits. | carolflorida9@icloud.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 190 | Sue | Lukens | Mountain View | Ozark Society | 9/15/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments re notification of local stakeholders in regarding to pending swine CAFO permits. | suelegacy@icloud.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 191 | Mary | Schlatterer | unk | Ozark Society, AR State Director | 9/15/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments re notification of local stakeholders in regarding to pending swine CAFO permits. | schlattererm@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 192 | Stephanie | Bentley | Springdale | Ozark Society | 9/15/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments that local stakeholders should be given advance notice about pending swine CAFO permits. | stephmacbentley@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-------------|----------|------------------------|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| 193 | Mary Beth | McDonald | Little Rock | Ozark Society, Pulaski Cty Chapt. Co-Chair | 9/16/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments that local stakeholders should be given advance notice about pending swine CAFO permits. | mbmcdonald55@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 194 | Ashley | Eakin | unk | Ozark Society | 9/13/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5. | ashleyaykin@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 195 | Susan | Essman | unk | Ozark Society | 9/13/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5. | esssman@mac.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 196 | Carole Anne | Rose | Kingston | Ozark Society | 9/13/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5. | swedencreekfarm@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 197 | George | Wise | unk | Arkansas Sierra Club, Central Arkansas Group | 9/13/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5. | hgcdwise@swbell.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 198 | David | Peterson | Greenbrier | Ozark Society, Past President | 9/13/2024 | Supports Ozark Society public comments that notification of local stakeholders should remain in Reg 5. Is there a 2023 version of the Arkansas Poultry Litter Survey available? | dprdp@windstream.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 199 | Ellen | Stern | unk | unk | 9/11/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium (§ VII(2)) on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. | estern@aristotle.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 200 | Mike | Kelly | Valley Springs | unk | 9/13/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium (§ VII(2)) on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste. Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included: Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested. | mikekelly@yellville.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------|-------|-------------|------------------------|------------|--|---|--|
| 201 | Elva | Pera Kelly | unk | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports permanent moratorium (§ VII(2)) on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste.</p> <p>Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included:</p> <p>Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested.</p> | queenbee@yellvilje.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 202 | Jan | Brown | unk | 9/15/2024 | <p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p> | janb36@hotmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 203 | Rose | Gergerich | unk | 9/16/2024 | <p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p> | <p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>16610 S.Highway.265 West.Fork.AR.72774</p> | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 204 | Wilma | Hintarthnar | unk | 9/16/2024 | <p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p> | <p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>1301 E.Sunny Hill Dr Fayetteville.AR.72703</p> | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 205 | Steve | Holst | unk | 9/16/2024 | <p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p> | <p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>1301 Sunny Hill Dr Fayetteville.AR.72703</p> | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 206 | Shane | Jetton | unk | 9/15/2024 | <p>Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested.</p> | c.electricalserviceinc@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|---|--|
| 207 | Cindy | Jetton | Marshall | unk | 9/15/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | cindy_jetton@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 208 | Khalisa | Kitz | Fayetteville | unk | 9/15/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | khalisarose@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 209 | Cheryl | McLoud | Fayetteville | unk | 9/16/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | unk-Rec'd by mail 5410 Wynne Rd Fayetteville, AR 72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 210 | Donna | Muholian | Fayetteville | unk | 9/16/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | unk-Rec'd by mail 885 N Fritz Dr Fayetteville, AR 72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 211 | Kelly | Muholian | Fayetteville | unk | 9/16/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | unk-Rec'd by mail 885 N Fritz Dr Fayetteville, AR 72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 212 | Christy | Pollock | Fayetteville | unk | 9/16/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state an local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | unk-Rec'd by mail 1114 N Valley View Dr Fayetteville, AR 72701 | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|--------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 213 | Ben | Pollock, Jr. | Fayetteville | unk | 9/16/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | <p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>1114 N Valley View Dr Fayetteville, AR 72701</p> | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 214 | Deanna | Shields | Fayetteville | unk | 9/16/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | <p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>22 E 5th Fayetteville, AR 72701</p> | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 215 | Carrie | Trinka | unk | unk | 9/14/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | carrietrinka@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 216 | Carrie | Marry | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/15/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BNRW. | carrie_marry_handwoven@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 217 | Margaret | Konert | Fayetteville | unk | 9/13/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste. Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included: Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested. | pegkonert@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 218 | David | Malm | Jasper | unk | 9/16/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste. Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included: Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested. | <p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>HC 70, Box 592 Jasper, AR 72641</p> | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 219 | Arleen | Olson | Fayetteville | unk | 9/16/2024 | Supports permanent moratorium on the issuance of permits for swine CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes language in § VII(3), it's unclear if the existing permits could be reactivated or they could accept transferred waste. Opposes notification language in § II(3)(b). In addition to the department's website the following needs to be included: Notification in state and local papers, letters to property owners adjacent to CAFO site and spreading fields, letters should be sent to county judges and quorum courts, mayors within 10 miles of facility and fields, superintendent of school districts that serve CAFO site and fields. All letters should be sent certified mail, return receipt requested. | <p>unk-Rec'd by mail</p> <p>2917 N. Mayberry Lane Fayetteville, AR 72703</p> | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-------|-----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 220 | Josh | McMahan | unk | unk | 9/15/2024 | Supports proper notice for all major development is crucial to our natural state. | mcmahan4@windstream.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 221 | Kathy | Downs | Jasper | BRWA | 9/15/2024 | Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable Opposes language in § II(3)(b), the proposed language is inadequate in terms of transparency and public notice. BRWA has concerns about the impact that Act 530 of 2023 will have on the public's right to know about permitting and enforcement under this rule. | anitendron@gmail.com | The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-1111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 222 | Edana | Hale | Newton Cty | BRWA | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | edanahale@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 223 | Sonya | Jorgensen | unk | BRWA | 9/14/2024 | Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable Opposes language in § II(3)(b), the proposed language is inadequate in terms of transparency and public notice. BRWA has concerns about the impact that Act 530 of 2023 will have on the public's right to know about permitting and enforcement under this rule. | sonyajorgensen08@gmail.com | The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-1111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 224 | Fay | Knox | Deer | BRWA | 9/15/2024 | Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable. § II(3)(b), notification language is a wholly inadequate notification procedure. Public notifications should include notifications in state and local papers, to property owners adjacent to CAFO sites and spreading fields, to county judges and quorum courts, or if located within an area zoned by the city, notify the city zoning authority; mayors within 10 miles, superintendents of schools within 10 miles, all notification should be written and sent by certified mail with return receipt requested. | carolyn.fay.knox@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 225 | Marti | Olesen | Ponca | BRWA, Vice President | 9/14/2024 | Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable Opposes language in § II(3)(b), the proposed language is inadequate in terms of transparency and public notice. BRWA has concerns about the impact that Act 530 of 2023 will have on the public's right to know about permitting and enforcement under this rule. | molesen12@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-1111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 226 | Nonah | Olesen | unk | BRWA | 9/14/2024 | Supports public comments made by BRWA. Supports permanent moratorium on liquid waste CAFOs in the BRW. Opposes § VII(3), it is not clear if the existing permits could be reactivated or if they could accept transferred waste either of which would not be acceptable Opposes language in § II(3)(b), the proposed language is inadequate in terms of transparency and public notice. BRWA has concerns about the impact that Act 530 of 2023 will have on the public's right to know about permitting and enforcement under this rule. | nonaholesen@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The Department will review existing permits and make a determination at a later date. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. Ark. Code Ann. § 15-20-1111(d) states a nutrient management or a poultry litter management plan developed and approved under this subchapter is not a public record, shall not be made available for public inspection, and is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act of 1967, § 25-19-101 et seq. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 227 | William | Baker | unk | BRWA Ozark Society | 9/12/2024 | Supports public comments made by the Ozark Society and the BRWA. Notification of local stakeholders re pending permits for swine CAFOs should remain. Supports permanent moratorium on issuance of swine CAFOs in the BRW. | wlbaker001@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 228 | Debbie | Alexy | Fayetteville | BRWA | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | alexyland@outlook.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 229 | Brad | Barnes | unk | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | ozarkking@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 230 | Chuck | Bitting | unk | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | ibitting@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 231 | Victoria | Bransford McClendon | Fayetteville | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | viktorialeigh@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 232 | Victoria | Bransford McClendon | Fayetteville | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | viktorialeigh@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 233 | Ed | Brocksmith | Tahlequah, OK | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | info@illinoisriver.org | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 234 | Ed | Brocksmith | Tahlequah, OK | Save the Illinois River, Inc. (STIR) | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | info@illinoisriver.org | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 235 | Shelley | Buonaiuto | Fayetteville | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | goodhelp@cybermesa.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 236 | Kathy | Downs | Jasper | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | anitendron@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 237 | Dell | Eddins | Goshen | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | cronemano@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 238 | Daniel | Estes | unk | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | thedanielestes@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 239 | Keith | Faulkner | unk | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | kfaulkner1000@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 240 | Wendy | Finn | unk | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | finnality@hotmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 241 | Amanda | Foust | unk | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | amanda.foust83@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 242 | Doug | George | unk | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | dgeorge2@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 243 | Edana | Hale | Newton Cty | BRWA | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | edanahale@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 244 | Louise | Halsey | Ozark | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | louisemhalsey@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 245 | Rachel | Henriques | Mt. Judea | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | henriques.ra@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 246 | Nan | Johnson | Eureka Springs | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | nan.n.johnson@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 247 | Dennis | Larson | unk | BRWA | 9/5/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | ozarklarsons@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 248 | Ginny | Masullo | Fayetteville | BRWA, Secretary | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | masulloginny42@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 249 | Ann | Mesrobian | Fayetteville | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | mesrobiana@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 250 | Ginger | Milan | unk | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | gmilan1953@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 251 | Ellen | Mitchell | Elkins | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | ellen@ellenmitchell.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-----------|----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 252 | Joseph | Morgan | Jasper | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | josephssemorgan@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 253 | Elizabeth | Murdoch | unk | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | bmurdoch@uark.edu | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 254 | John | Murdoch | Wesley | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | jmurdoch3@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 255 | John | Murdock | Wesley | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | jmurdoch3@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 256 | Susan | Parker | unk | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | skparker27@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 257 | Scott | Parson | Rogersville, MO | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | scottparson485@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 258 | Rex | Porter | unk | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | rexp@mtnhome.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| 259 | Mark | Richards | Rogers | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | richardsmt@aol.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 260 | George | Roe | Lake Ozark, MO | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | datadrudge@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 261 | Susan | Siegele | Huntsville | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | susymike2@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 262 | Roxanne | Thompson | unk | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | rocksy.rt@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 263 | Shelley | Trost | unk | BRWA | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | shelley7922@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 264 | JC | Villines | unk | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | jc@curbappealroofing.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 265 | Gordon | Watkins | Jasper | BRWA (President) | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | buffalowatershed@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-------------|----------|------------------------|------------|----------|---|--|---|
| 266 | Sara | White | unk | BRWA | 9/4/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | raynb@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 267 | Roy | Wilson | Sheridan | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | roywilson@windstream.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 268 | Duane | Woltjen | Fayetteville | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. | ozarktraveler1@att.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 269 | Carole Anne | Rose | Kingston | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period. | c2@theold78s.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 270 | Stephanie | Bentley | Springdale | BRWA | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period. | stephmacbentley@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 271 | Lynn | Christie | unk | unk | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period. | christie-j@att.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 272 | Katie | Deakins | Harrison | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period. | kdnote@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|-------------|------------------------|--|-----------|---|--|---|
| 273 | Robin | Hickerson | unk | BRWA | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. Extend public comment period. | rhickerson@comcast.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. The Department extended the comment period two weeks, to September 16, 2024. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 274 | Lisa | Orton | Fayetteville | BRWA Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of BRWA. Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | lisa.m.orton@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 275 | John | Adam | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | johnadam@live.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 276 | Deb | Bartholomew | Bentonville | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | texasred@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 277 | Joshua | Black | Boone Cty | Ozark Society | 9/7/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | jblackbusiness@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 278 | Steve | Blumreich | Mountain Home | Friends of the North Fork and White Rivers Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | sblum1326@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 279 | Rebecca | Bryant | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | r.bryant@icloud.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 280 | Shannon | Card | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | shannonrcard@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 281 | Clayton | Davis | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | yanrid@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 282 | Mark | Degginger | Jasper | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | markdegginger@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 283 | Gene | Dunaway | Mountain View | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | gene.dunaway@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 284 | Tim | Eubanks | unk | Ozark Society | 9/3/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | timeubanks62@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 285 | Michael | Farar | Fox | Ozark Society | 9/8/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | hbitsko@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 286 | Nancy | Garner | unk | Ozark Society | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | beingnancy03@arkansas.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 287 | Sara | Gibbs | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | saralanegibbs@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|-------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 288 | Brad | Green | unk | Ozark Society | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | bradgreen27@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 289 | Don | House | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/8/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | dhouse@nwark.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 290 | Sue | Hubbard | Eureka Springs | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | shubbard@redshift.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 291 | Steven | Jarvis | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | sjarvis@jarvis.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 292 | Marysia | Jastrzebski | Jasper | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | marysjaast@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 293 | Shane | Jetton | Searcy Cty | Ozark Society | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | c.electricalserviceinc@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 294 | Cindy | Jetton | Searcy Cty | Ozark Society | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | cindy.jetton@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 295 | Marian | Johnson | Bryant/Saline Cty | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | mannej@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 296 | Cris | Jones | unk | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | crisjonescpa@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 297 | Monty | Keel | Rogers | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | montykeel@protonmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 298 | Bert | Kell | unk | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | hkell@arvest.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 299 | Kenny | Kendrick | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | kendrick.kenny@att.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 300 | Gordon | King | Norfolk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | gordonking@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 301 | Bettie Lu | Lancaster | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | bettielulancaster@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 302 | Jim | Langford | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | jvlangford14@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 303 | Denise | Lanuti | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | dlanuti@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 304 | Kenny | Leonard | Bentonville | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | kenleopard57@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 305 | Sue | Lukens | Mountain View | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | suelegacy@icloud.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 306 | Sue | Mabry | Eureka Springs | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | mabrysue@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 307 | Mike | McKinnon | Bentonville | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | mikemckinnon1@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 308 | Cheryl | McLoud | unk | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | cheryl.mcloud@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 309 | Francis | Millett | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | millett@uark.edu | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 310 | Jeff | Montgomery | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | jeffmontgomery@earthlink.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 311 | Paul | Moore | unk | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | pmoore1@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 312 | Judith | Nail | Mountain Home | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | jnail1113@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 313 | Janet | Parsch | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | jparsch@uark.edu | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 314 | Lucas | Parsch | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | lparsch@uark.edu | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 315 | David | Peterson | Greenbrier | Ozark Society, Past President | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | drpdtp@windstream.net | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 316 | Drew | Pierce | Cotter | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | driftydrew@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 317 | Nancy | Pierson | Gentry | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | bowensource@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 318 | Tom | Rimkus | Huntsville | Ozark Society | 9/8/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | trimkus@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 319 | Bob | Ross | Rogers | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | bob.cathy.ross@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 320 | Cathy | Ross | Rogers | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | cathyconnellyross@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 321 | Max | Run | unk | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | maxrun@live.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 322 | Tracy | Skaggs | Benton | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | tracy@james-ins.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 323 | LuAnn | Smith-Lacy | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | nanosecia@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 324 | Rick | Spicer | unk | Ozark Society | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | rick@packratoc.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 325 | Sarah | Thompson | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 8/30/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | b.s.thompson@hotmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 326 | Kathleen | Trotter | Lowell | Ozark Society | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | kalkathy@aol.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 327 | Faron | Usrey | Carrollton | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | mtrnanger@live.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/City | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 328 | William | Wiedower | Little Rock | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | bill@hwarch.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 329 | Terry | Yocham | unk | Ozark Society | 9/8/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society re notification language. | tavocham@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 330 | Bruce | Adib-Yazdi | Springfield, MO | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | bruce.adib.yazdi@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 331 | Leah | Allen | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | leahgallen@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 332 | Adam | Bernbach | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | ariam.bernbach@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 333 | Sage | Billig | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | sagellah@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 334 | Trevor | Daugherty | unk | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | tddaugh1991@cloud.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 335 | Ed | Delauter | Mountain View | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | edthewino@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 336 | Mary | Droho | Gentry | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | mdroho@hotmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 337 | Taylor | Dye | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | taylor.dye@ozarklandtrust.org | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 338 | Barbara | Fell | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | bdjnfay@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 339 | Laurie | Fisher | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | lsfisher60@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 340 | Jesse | Fite | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | jesseglenfite@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 341 | Missy | Gocio | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | mjgocio@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 342 | Carol | Gray Hutto | Clinton | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | cpghutto@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 343 | Phyllis | Head | unk | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | brooklyngirl951@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 344 | Frank | Head, Jr. | unk | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | ccisnw@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 345 | Mary Helen | Henry | Little Rock | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | maryhelenh@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 346 | Nora | Hinton | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | maplesorganics@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 347 | Dottie | Hobbs | Fort Smith | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | sthobbs@cox.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 348 | Shawn | Hunter | unk | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | barefootnwa@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-----------|----------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 349 | Shawn | Hunter | Gentry/Benton Cty | Ozark Society | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | barefootnwa@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 350 | Stephanie | Hymel | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | shymel61@shcglobal.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 351 | Tammy | Jernigan | | Ozark Society/Bayour Chapter | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | tjern88@hotmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 352 | Beth | Keck | Bentonville | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | bethkeck719@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 353 | Leslie | Kent | unk | unk | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | tkent9978@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 354 | Miranda | Kohout | Springdale | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | miranda.kowalczyk@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 355 | Janice | LaBrie | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | labriedc@hotmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-----------|------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 356 | Ben | Landry | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | btland10@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 357 | Carole | Lane | unk | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | clane@uark.edu | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 358 | Anastacia | Lincoln | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | lincolnanastacia@uams.edu | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 359 | Barry | Martindale | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | 4barrymartindale@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 360 | Terrie | Martindale | Harrison | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | tmusicus@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 361 | Timothy | Mason | unk | Ozark Society, Vice President | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | tamason8794@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 362 | Katrina | McClane | Rogers | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | kmncclane@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 363 | Tom | McClure | Rogers | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | caddo716@hotmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 364 | Patricia | McKeown | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | pat5802@cox.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 365 | Philip | Milan | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | gmilan1953@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 366 | Paul | Moore | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | pmooretx1@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 367 | Arthur | Morgan | Rogers | Ozark Society | 8/31/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | axm02@uark.edu | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 368 | Dawn | Nahlen | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | d.nahlen@elsevier.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 369 | Janet | Nye | Gilbert | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | jhnye14@swbell.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| 370 | Jo Paulus | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | jo.paulus@sbcglobal.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 371 | Stephen Perry | unk | Ozark Society | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | lstepherr@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 372 | Jeanne Philpott | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | jeannephilpott@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 373 | Michael | Jackson, TN | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | mrapp@eplus.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 374 | Farar Rose | unk | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | chacorose@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 375 | Miles & Michelle Riley | Mountain Home | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | info@rileysouthfitter.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 376 | Grant Scarsdale | Harrison/Boone Cty | Ozark Society | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | grantscarsdale@me.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| 377 | Karen | Seller | Farmington | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | kcs735537@cox.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 378 | Karen | Seller | Farmington | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | kcs735537@cox.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 379 | Norma | Senyard | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | norma.senyard@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 380 | Norma | Senyard | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | norma.senyard@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 381 | Carolyn | Shearman | unk | unk | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | tucshea@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 382 | Brian | Thompson | Fayetteville | Ozark Society, President | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | thompsonadc@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 383 | JL | Titus | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | jtitus2772@sbcglobal.net | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| 384 Kelly | Tribell | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | kelly.tribell@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 385 Shari | unk | unk | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | willowbendgarden@aol.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 386 Linda | VanBlaricom | Little Rock | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | lvbuu2@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 387 Evan | Walden | Madison Cty | Ozark Society | 9/2/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | thewaldenfarm@hotmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 388 Marcia | Wallace | Mountain View | Ozark Society | 9/1/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | mwallace0048@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 389 William | Wiedower | Little Rock | Ozark Society | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | bill@hwarch.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 390 Heaven | Nelson | unk | Ozark Society | 9/10/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | williamsonheaven@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|--|---|--|--|
| 391 | Victoria | Wooton | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of Ozark Society. | victoria.deloy@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. | |
| 392 | Bill | Adams | unk | Ozark Society | 9/12/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | billadams72227@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 393 | June | Anteski | Paris | Ozark Society | 9/12/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | june_anteski@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 394 | Marj | Bernhardt | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | mbernhardt78@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 395 | David | Bowles | unk | Ozark Society | 9/12/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | dbowles524@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 396 | Alisa | Dixon | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | absdixon@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 397 | Karen | Foster | unk | Ozark Society | 9/12/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | potterk@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|-------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 398 | April | Griffith | unk | Ozark Society | 9/11/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | april.e.griffith@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 399 | Susan | Jenkins | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/12/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | jenkburg@aol.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 400 | Brian | Minyard | Little Rock | Ozark Society | 9/12/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | brianminyard@yahoo.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 401 | Julie | Quinn | Eureka Springs | Ozark Society | 9/12/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | jquinn1029@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 402 | Jim | Schuler | unk | Ozark Society | 9/12/2024 | Supports public comments of the Ozark Society. Notification of local stakeholders re pending swine CAFO permits needs to remain in the reg. | jcschuler1955@gmail.com | This proposed rule retains the moratorium. The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 403 | Luke | Alston | Mena | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | luke.alston.259317085@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 404 | Benny | Anderson | Searcy | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | benny.anderson.403626685@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 405 | Carey | Autrey | Sheridan | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | carey.autrey.437031156@p2a.co | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 406 | Robert | Balentine | Conway | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | robert.balentine.252723441@grsdelivery.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 407 | Robert | Balentine | Conway | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | robert.balentine.252723441@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 408 | Rubin | Barnes | Sheridan | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | rubin.barnes.403627332@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 409 | Steve | Barney | Mena | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | steve.barney.259677446@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 410 | Sue | Billiot | Smithville | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | sue.billiot.261994389@grassrootsmessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 411 | Jack | Boles | Ward | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jack.boles.297075145@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 412 | Kieth | Boyd | Jonesboro | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | keith.boyd.403626856@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 413 | Stephen | Boyd | Rison | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | stephen.boyd.259316545@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 414 | Stephen | Boyd | Rison | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | stephen.boyd.259316545@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 415 | Julie | Campbell | Vendor | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | julie.campbell.403628719@grsdelivery.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 416 | Julie | Campbell | Vendor | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | julie.campbell.403628719@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 417 | Julie | Campbell | Vendor | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | julie.campbell.403628719@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 418 | Jana | Carroll | Moro | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jana.carroll.259317454@advocacymessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 419 | Jon | Carroll | Moro | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jon.carroll.251654269@grsdelivery.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 420 | Sarah | Carr | Harrison | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | sarah.carroll.403628548@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 421 | Samuel | Cecil | Russelville | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | samuel.cecil.403627936@grassrootsmessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 422 | Jason | Chaffin | Hot Springs Village | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jason.chaffin.727961206@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 423 | Jason | Chaffin | Hot Springs Village | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jason.chaffin.727961206@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 424 | Misty | Chaffin | Hot Springs Village | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | misty.chaffin.251974796@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 425 | Randal | Ckark | Harriet | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | randal.ckark.259678661@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 426 | Danna | Cofer | Searcy | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | danna.cofer.259678085@advocacymessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 427 | Ernie | Coppock | Benton | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | ernie.coppock.614129935@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 428 | Ernie | Coppock | Benton | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | ernie.coppock.614129935@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 429 | Ricky | Core | Greenwood | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | ricky.core.403626469@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 430 | David | Daniel | Springdale | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | david.daniel.306975848@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 431 | Alton | Davis | Grapevine | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | alton.davis.403627440@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 432 | Tyler | Davis | Ashdown | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | tyler.davis.252352560@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 433 | Terry | Duboise | Hackett | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | terry.duboise.403626432@advocacymessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 434 | Kelli | Evans | Damascus | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | kelli.evans.252391602@advocacymessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 435 | Benton | Felts | Joiner | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | benton.felts.459316491@p2a.co | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 436 | Sherry | Felts | Joiner | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | sherry.felts.251699710@grassrootsmessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 437 | Bruce | Ferguson | Texarkana | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | bruce.ferguson.403627972@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 438 | Marion | Fletcher | Hot Springs | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | marion.fletcher.259316617@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 439 | Melanie | Fosko | Clinton | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | melanie.fosko.306763951@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 440 | Melanie | Fosko | Clinton | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | melanie.fosko.306763951@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 441 | Daniel | Free | Waldron | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | daniel.free.297074100@grassrootsmessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------|---------|------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
| 442 | Daniel | Free | Waldron | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | daniel.free.297074100@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 443 | Daniel | Free | Waldron | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | daniel.free.297074100@yourconstituent.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 444 | John | Freeman | Centerton | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | john.freeman.297075929@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 445 | Mike | Freeze | Little Rock | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | mike.freeze.2596770886@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 446 | Ben | Gander | unk | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | ben.gander.437679752@advocatesmessage.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 447 | Larry | Garlington | Ivan | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | larry.garlington.306708178@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 448 | Stan | Garner | Danville | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | stan.garner.403626315@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 449 | Clifton | Gifford | Corning | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | clifton.gifford.259316941@advocacymessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 450 | Clifton | Gifford | Corning | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | clifton.gifford.259316941@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|--------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 451 | Richie | Gray | Dardanelle | Right to Farm | 9/16/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | richie.gray.259316419@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 452 | Gordon | Greene | Fordyce | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | gordon.greene.297075578@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 453 | Gordon | Greene | Fordyce | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | gordon.greene.297075578@p2a.co | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 454 | Jim | Griffin | Siloam Springs | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jim.griffen.397077233@p2a.co | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 455 | Bill | Hamm | Elkins | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | bill.hamm.306993866@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 456 | Randy | Hare | Hope | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | randy.hare.576827708@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 457 | Brian | Harris | Heber Springs | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | brian.harris.310502054@advocatesmessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 458 | James | Harvey | Adona | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | james.harvey.259715227@advocacymessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 459 | Jodee | Hayes | Leslie | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jodee.hayes.259316897@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
| 460 | Stan | Hayes | Leslie | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | stan.hayes.259318075@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 461 | Chris | Heiser | Lamar | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | chris.heiser.306907203@advocacymessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 462 | Ron | Helton | Benton | Right to Farm | 9/16/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | ron.helton.297077855@p2a.co The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 463 | Steve | Hignight | Prairie Grove | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | steve.hignight.252157431@forgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 464 | Melissa | Holland | Gentry | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | melissa.holland.432072345@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 465 | Donald | Horton | Harrisburg | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | donald.horton.297079060@yourconstituent.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 466 | Matt | Howton | Palenstine | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | matt.howton.403626955@foradvocacy.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 467 | Matt | Howton | Palenstine | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | matt.howton.403626955@yourconstituent.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 468 | Amelia | Hoyt | Houston | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | amelia.hoyt.251432111@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 469 | David | Hoyt | Houston | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | david.hoyt.251418388@advocacymessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 470 | David | Hoyt | Houston | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | david.hoyt.251418388@grassrootsmessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 471 | Don | Hubbell | Batesville | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | don.hubbell.403628700@advocacymessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 472 | Steven | Jones | Conway | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | steven.jones.251753430@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 473 | Matt | King | Little Rock | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | matt.king.251395465@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 474 | Terry | Laster | Strong | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | terry.laster.259676960@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 475 | Terry | Laster | Strong | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | terry.laster.259676960@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 476 | Michael | Lee | Conway | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | michael.lee.2593162002@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 477 | Austin | Lester | Rose Bud | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | austin.lester.427397189@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 478 | Mandy | Lester | Quitman | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | mandy.lester.436798067@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 479 | Tracy | Lester | Quitman | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | tracy.lester.436937279@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 480 | Tracy | Lester | Quitman | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | tracy.lester.436937279@grassrootsmessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 481 | Caroline | Lester | Rose Bud | Right to Farm | 9/16/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | caroline.lester.404220738@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 482 | Robert | Lloyd | Booneville | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | robert.lloyd.258317427@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 483 | Mark | Lockhart | Hope | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | mark.lockhart.259676654@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 484 | Melanie | Malone | Conway | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | melanie.malone.403624711@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 485 | Lauren | Martin | Judsonia | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | lauren.martin.252421635@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 486 | Everett | Mason | Brinkley | Right to Farm | 9/16/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | everett.mason.251963644@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
| 487 | John | McKim | Little Rock | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | john.mckim.404291398@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 488 | Richard | Mcminn | Stuttgart | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | richard.mcminn.615441478@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 489 | Chris | Meador | Green Forest | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | chris.meador.259316013@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 490 | Chris | Meador | Green Forest | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | chris.meador.259316013@p2a.co The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 491 | James | Meeks | Hamburg | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | james.meeks.259677536@advocacymessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 492 | Eric | Mohike | Cord | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | eric.mohike.403628728@advocacymessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 493 | Eric | Mohike | Cord | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | eric.mohike.403628728@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 494 | Curtis | Moore | Lincoln | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | curtis.moore.259676069@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 495 | Seth | Moore | Beebe | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | seth.moore.432252264@yourconstituent.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------|---------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
| 496 | Donald | Moss | Rose Bud | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | donald.moss.533755101@p2a.co The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 497 | Jerry | Moyer | Lincoln | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jerry.moyer.297075514@grassrootsmessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 498 | Jason | Murray | Paragould | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jason.murray.259316149@forgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 499 | Tim | Neidecker | Van Buren | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | tim.neidecker.259316248@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 500 | Grant | Pace | Monticello | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | grant.pace.251583916@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 501 | Joel | Pace | Monticello | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | joel.pace.251583916@forgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 502 | Michael | Parish | Clinton | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | michael.parish.6094325826@sendgrassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 503 | CJ | Parker | Carlisle | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | cj.parker.459556088@grassrootsmessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 504 | Clay | Parker | Carlisle | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | clay.parker.259352996@advocatesmessage.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/City | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------|---------|-------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
| 505 | Dustin | Parsons | Benton | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>dustin.parsons.465717334@forgrassroots.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 506 | James | Patton | Bentonville | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>james.patton.252099535@p2a.co</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 507 | Brad | Peacock | Bald Knob | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>brad.peacock.259316086@grassrootsmessages.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 508 | Russell | Pendergraft | Texarkana | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>russell.pendergraft.403627981@p2a.co</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 509 | James | Penn | Black Rock | Right to Farm | 9/16/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>jamesm.penn.297075866@advocacymessages.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 510 | Mike | Pennington | Monticello | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>mike.pennington.459550273@advocatefor.me</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 511 | Roy | Pennse | Winslow | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>roy.pennse.259317517@grsdelivery.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 512 | Sandra | Perry | Prairie Grove | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>sandra.perry.25589678328@sendgrassroots.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 513 | Sandra | Perry | Prairie Grove | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>sandra.perry.259678328@advocatesmessage.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 514 | Sandra | Perry | Prairie Grove | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | sandra.perry.259678328@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 515 | Gene | Pharr | Lincoln | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | gene.pharr.251637961@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 516 | Gene | Pharr | Lincoln | Right to Farm | 9/16/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | gene.pharr.251637961@grsdelivery.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 517 | Lloyd | Phelps | Strong | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | lloyd.phelps.601710384@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 518 | Richard | Pierce | Blackwell | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | richard.pierce.251492665@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 519 | Roger | Pitchford | Norfolk | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | roger.pitchford.297077422@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 520 | Roger | Pitchford | Norfolk | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | roger.pitchford.297077422@grassrootsmessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 521 | Caleb | Plyler | Hope | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | caleb.plyler.252352515@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 522 | David | Rawls | Pocahontas | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | david.rawls.459555070@grsdelivery.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 523 | Michael | Richardson | Bonnerdale | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | michael.richardson.403628755@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 524 | Tanner | Riggin | Beebe | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | tanner.riggin.598166528@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 525 | Tanner | Riggin | Beebe | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | tanner.riggin.598166528@grassroomsessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 526 | Catrinia | Rojas | Benton | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | catrinia.rojas.728849020@forgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 527 | Brian | Roper | Fox | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | brian.roper.524338078@grassrootsmessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 528 | Brian | Roper | Fox | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | brian.roper.524338078@grsdelivery.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 529 | Jennifer | Sansom | Ashdown | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jennifer.sansom.436919224@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 530 | Chris | Schaefers | Conway | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | chris.schaefers.251991823@grsdelivery.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 531 | Bill | Sewell | El Dorado | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | bill.sewell.403627594_sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
| 532 | Bill | Shannon | Jonesboro | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>bill.shannon.297073336@yourconstituent.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 533 | Matt | Shekels | Harrison | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>matt.shekels.403628809@p2a.co</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 534 | Phillip | Sims | Russellville | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>phillip.sims.259317049@yourconstituent.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 535 | John | Sink | Newport | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>john.sink.728940640@yourconstituent.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 536 | Bryan | Smith | Newport | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>bryan.smith.259316851@foradvocacy.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 537 | Debra | Smith | Bay | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>debra.smith.535599545@p2a.co</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 538 | Lisa | Smith | Dover | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>lisa.smith.403626298@grassrootsmessages.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 539 | John | Spain | Hindsville | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>john.spain.521162706@grsdelivery.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |
| 540 | John | Spain | Hindsville | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | <p>Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers.</p> <p>Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered.</p> | <p>john.spain.521162706@yourconstituent.com</p> <p>The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required.</p> |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 541 | Phillip | Steed | Zion | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | phillip.steed.2593617210@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 542 | Robert | Stobaugh | Atkins | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | robert.stobaugh.259678265@advocacymessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 543 | Robert | Stobaugh | Atkins | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | robert.stobaugh.259678265@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 544 | Reed | Storey | Marvell | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | reed.storey.310493389@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 545 | Tony | Suit | Bonnerdale | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | tony.suit.464991115@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 546 | Stanley | Taylor | Pelsor | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | stanley.taylor.259316888@endgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 547 | Roger | Thompson | Mansfield | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | roger.thompson.259316329@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 548 | Tammy | Thompson | Mansfield | Right to Farm | 9/14/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | tammy.thompson.259315843@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 549 | Tommy | Thompson | Morrilton | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | tommy.thompson.259316400@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-------|---------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
| 550 | Renee | Thrash | Houston | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | renee.thrash.259316905@advocatefor.me The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 551 | Joe | Thrash | Houston | Right to Farm | 9/16/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | joe.thrash.259316806@forgassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 552 | George | Tidwell | Austin | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | george.e.tidwell.424597351@forgassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 553 | Zach | Tidwell | Stuttgart | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | zach.tidwell.259676221@yourconstituent.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 554 | Leslie | Turner | Lexa | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | leslie.turner.259678157@forgassroots.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 555 | Leslie | Turner | Lexa | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | leslie.turner.259678157@p2a.co The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 556 | Jason | Villines | Huntsville | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jason.villines.576826501@grsdelivery.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 557 | Jason | Villines | Huntsville | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jason.villines.576826501@p2a.co The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 558 | Delbert | Walker | Murfreesboro | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | delbert.walker.459553216@grassrootsmessages.com The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/City | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|---|--|---|
| 559 | Delbert | Walker | Murfreesboro | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | delbert.walker.459553216@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 560 | Delbert | Walker | Murfreesboro | Right to Farm | 9/16/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | delbert.walker.459553216@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 561 | Jeremy | Wesson | Searcy | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jeremy.wesson.252387599@foradvocacy.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 562 | Fransese | Wheatley | Judsonia | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | fransese.wheatley.297074668@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 563 | Fredese | Wheatley | Judsonia | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | fredese.wheatley.297074669@advocatefor.me | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 564 | Lucas | Whittenton | Forrest City | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | lucas.whittenton.459556655@advocatesmessage.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 565 | Karen | Wood | Little Rock | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | karen.wood.251714343@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 566 | Jarrold | Yates | Benton | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | jarrod.yates.493533157@sendgrassroots.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 567 | Amy | Young | Tuckerman | Right to Farm | 9/15/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | amy.young.253138936@advocacymessages.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response | |
|-------|---------------|----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|---|
| 568 | Amy | Young | Tuckerman | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | amy.young.253138936@yourconstituent.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 569 | John | McMinn | Little Rock | Right to Farm | 9/12/2024 | Supports sensible rules governing the permitting of liquid animal waste. The proposed process provides a framework to work collaboratively to protect water quality and support the needs of farmers and ranchers. Has concerns regarding the proposed moratorium on hog farms. Imposing a broad moratorium seems preemptive. The Department, through authority granted by the state legislature, has regulatory review of permit requests on a case-by-case basis. This individual review provides a scrutiny and flexibility needed to ensure all situations are considered. | john.mcminn.404291398@grsdelivery.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 570 | Carmen | Quinn | Little Rock | Ozark Society | 9/14/2024 | Supports the Ozark Society public comments re notification of all local stakeholders. | ccquinn23@yahoo.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 571 | Sabine | Schmidt | Fayetteville | Ozark Society | 9/13/2024 | Supports the Ozark Society public comments re notification of all local stakeholders. | sabineischmidt@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 572 | Caroline | Rogers | unk | unk | 9/13/2024 | The AR Times reported that by changing notification from ADEQ to Dept of AG the public will not be able to easily learn of CAFO, hog farms or other industrial business near watersheds. Corporate agendas should not be hidden from the public. | stopwindfarmsar@gmail.com | Act 824 of 2023 transferred authority over all liquid animal waste management systems to the Arkansas Department of Agriculture. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 573 | Evan | Teague | Farm Bureau | | 9/16/2024 | The Arkansas Farm Bureau Federation welcomes the opportunity to submit comments regarding the proposed amendments to the Arkansas Livestock and Poultry Commission's (Commission) Liquid Animal Waste Systems (LAWS) Rule, specifically Section VII. Watershed Specific Rules – Buffalo River Watershed. We fully support the transfer of the LAWS permitting authority from the Pollution Control and Ecology Commission, and we look forward to working with the Commission as it begins implementing the LAWS Rules. However, we do have some concerns we believe need to be addressed. We strongly urge the Commission to strike Section VII. Moratorium related language should have been stricken after the 2020 legislative review process when the ALC – Administrative Rules Committee voted unanimously to disapprove the Pollution Control and Ecology's previous rulemaking attempt on the basis that this moratorium is not consistent with legislative intent. Please explain on what authority this Commission has for not only leaving the moratorium language in its rulemaking but expanding it to include small swine farms. We incorporate, by reference, our comments submitted to the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission regarding its Rule 6 rulemaking, specifically Section 6.602, as support for our belief that a permanent permitting moratorium of all swine farms, regardless of size, in the Buffalo River watershed is not scientifically justifiable. We encourage the Livestock & Poultry Commission to correct the inaction of the Pollution Control and Ecology Commission by removing Section VII. Watershed Specific Rules from its proposed Liquid Animal Waste System rule language. | latosha.white@arfb.com | The Department recognizes the differences of opinion on the Buffalo National River Watershed moratorium. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 574 | Mayor Lioneld | Jordan | Fayetteville | unk | 9/12/2024 | The proposed revisions to Reg 5 of any new permit application or permit modification to liquid animal waste operations lack sufficient notification to municipalities. Without direct communication from state agencies by email, my staff will be required to check daily or weekly on the Dept of Ag's website for new permit applications. This scenario will impose an additional burden on my already overworked staff. Incorporate notification procedures as they currently exist under Reg 6.207 of the AR PC&EC commission regulations. We would ask as a courtesy that we be notified in writing on any proposed CAFOs or liquid animal waste operations within the Illinois River and Upper White River watersheds. | mayor@fayetteville-ar.gov | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |

| FName | LName | City/Cty | Association Referenced | Date Rec'd | Comment | Email | Department Response |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|------------------------|------------|--|--|---|
| 575 James | McCarty | unk | Beaver Water District | 9/16/2024 | <p>We are pleased that most of the original Reg. 5 is retained in the new rule. However, we do not support the removal of some of the public notification requirements. Specifically, the removal of notification requirements for adjacent landowners and local governing authorities will limit the ability of the public to review and provide written comment regarding new liquid animal waste management systems. In addition, part of BWD's process in monitoring compliance with rules in the Beaver Lake Watershed has included the ability to use ADEQ's public facing website to review permit applications, nutrient management plans, annual reports, and compliance inspections by state agencies. BWD wants to ensure that this level of review will still be available under the proposed rule and with the new state agency overseeing this rule.</p> <p>The erosion of some of the public notification requirements within this proposed rule, along with the removal of nutrient management plan oversight by the public through the state legislature this past year are steps in the wrong direction. The public and key stakeholders like BWD have a legitimate need to understand and make their concerns known about agricultural and waste disposal practices that may impact their local environment and drinking water sources.</p> | jmccarty@bwdh2o.org | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| 576 Mark | Cain | Huntsville | unk | 9/16/2024 | <p>We must not eliminate the necessity of public announcements and comment periods about possible siting of CAFO's in the Buffalo River Watershed, where the public has an enormous interest in preserving pristine water quality.</p> | drippingspringsdn@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |
| Alexa | Pittenger | Eureka Springs | unk | 9/15/2024 | <p>Writes to protest instituting a rule that large hog house projects do not need to notify property owners that would be affected before the project is given the go ahead.</p> | alexapittenger@gmail.com | The notice process provided within the rule is sufficient. The Department's permitting process merely governs the design of liquid animal waste management systems and the approval of nutrient and site management plans. If it is determined that the operation may result in a discharge into the waters of the state, the operation must obtain a NPDES permit through the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment. This NPDES permit process includes the increased notice as requested in the comment. No changes to the rule are required. |