



A vertical collage of six images showing various healthy food items. From top to bottom: 1. A close-up of fresh vegetables including a purple broccoli floret, green bell peppers, and red bell peppers. 2. A pile of dry grains, including oatmeal and corn kernels, next to a small amount of white powder. 3. A variety of fruits including blueberries in a metal tray, a green apple, and a red apple. 4. Proteins including a cracked egg on a yellow tray, a bowl of white rice, a piece of raw salmon, and a piece of raw chicken. 5. More vegetables including green okra, green peas, and green bell peppers. 6. A white ceramic pitcher filled with milk.



CONTENTS

Executive Summary 1

Background 3

Summary of 2020 Submitted Reports..... 5

 Screening Questions..... 5

 Food and Beverage Procurement 7

 Agency Commitment 9

 Motivators, Barriers, and Opportunities..... 11

 COVID-19 13

Conclusion..... 14

Appendices

 Appendix A - Respondents 15

 Appendix B - Local Food Vendors..... 25

 Appendix C - Reported Local Farm and Food Products..... 26

Respectfully submitted to:
The Honorable Asa Hutchinson, Governor
Co-Chairs of the Arkansas Legislative Council
House and Senate Committees on Agriculture, Forestry, and Economic Development

Prepared by:
The Arkansas Department of Agriculture with support from the Office of Community Health and Research at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences – Northwest Campus.

Arkansas Department of Agriculture: Sarah Lane, Karen Reynolds, Mark Nelson, Amy Lyman, and Cynthia Edwards.
Graphic design by Joby Miller.
Office of Community Health and Research at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences – Northwest Campus: Emily English, Mary Bailey, Christopher Long, Aaron Scott, and Matt Gannon.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



The Local Food, Farms, and Jobs Act (Local Food Act) was enacted in 2017 and amended in 2019 to create, strengthen, and expand local farm and food economies throughout the state. The Local Food Act requires agencies to set a goal of at least 20% of the agency's¹ purchases of food products be spent on local food and it requires agencies to provide information on their local² food procurement budget.

Of the 2,322 agencies identified as receiving funding from the state or offering a food service program, 673 respondents (29.0%) completed the screening questions and 1,649 (71.0%) agencies did not start the screening questions. Of the 673 agency respondents, 352 (52.3%) met the criteria for reporting, and 321 (47.7%) did not meet the criteria for reporting because they did not receive state funding, did not operate a food service program, or both. Of the 352 respondents who met the criteria for reporting, 261 (74.1%) completed the report and 91 (25.9%) partially completed the report. Respondents used information from fiscal year 2020 (FY2020).

The following bulleted list provides an overview of the information reported by agencies. For more information, please read the full 2020 Local Food, Farms, and Jobs Act Annual Report that follows.

- FY2020 total food budget was reported by 302 agencies. The middle number (median) of the range of responses was \$174,478.50. All responses together totaled \$135,535,048.62.
- FY2020 total local food budget was reported by 267 agencies. The median of the range of responses was \$20,000.00. All responses together totaled \$24,003,280.06.
- Median percentage of FY2020 total food budget spent on local food was 12.0%. 107 agencies reported meeting the Local Food, Farms, and Jobs Act goal of 20% or more of their local food budget for FY2020 being spent on local food.
- Vendors used to purchase local farm and food products were distributors, farms, grocers, processors, and others. The top two vendors used were Hiland Dairy and Tyson.
- Most common farm and food products reported were sweet potatoes, apples, milk, chicken, bread, juice, and packaged items.
- To facilitate meeting local procurement goals, agencies reported needing internal infrastructure (88.9%) and connections with local contacts (72.2%).
- To purchase and procure local products, respondents identified that they intend to work with a broadline or wholesale distributor (49.3%) and intend to work directly with a farmer or producer (31.6%).
- Agencies reported being most interested in purchasing vegetables (84.6%) and fruit (75.4%).
- Agencies reported the motivators for purchasing local products were meeting the requirements of the Local Food Act (58.2%) and obtaining higher quality products (45.4%).


1. Institute of higher education (college, university), child care facility (k-12 school, early childhood education center, juvenile detention center, youth residential treatment facility), state park, after-school program, state agency or other entity of the state, and designees under contract within Arkansas.
2. Food products that are grown in Arkansas, packaged and processed in Arkansas, and/or both.

- Agencies reported barriers faced when purchasing local farm and/or food products were lack of supply (49.3%) and lack of access to information on where to purchase products (46.9%).
- Agencies included leveraging food vendor relationships and enhancing distributor technical assistance as opportunities for further expansion of local farm and/or food product procurement.


COVID-19

Respondents were asked if the COVID-19 pandemic disrupted their normal food service program. Out of 264 respondents, 229 agencies (86.7%) indicated that the COVID-19 pandemic disrupted their normal food service program due to facility closures, difficulty accessing food products, decreased food program participation, and/or pivoting of services to be COVID-compliant. Further, 92 respondents (34.9%) indicated that the COVID-19 pandemic impacted their ability to procure local food in the previous fiscal year due to institutional closures, funding restrictions, decreased institutional capacity, COVID-19 social distancing restrictions, and/or an inability to procure local food due to supply and accessibility.

Agency Comparison



Percentage of Budget Spent on Local Food Comparison



The Local Food, Farms, and Jobs Act (Local Food Act) was enacted in 2017 to create, strengthen, and expand local farm and food economies throughout the state. The Local Food Act also intended to support and encourage the procurement of local farm or food products as a significant portion of all food products.

The Local Food Act of 2017, codified at A.C.A. 15-4-3801, required agencies that received at least \$25,000 from the state of Arkansas for the purchase of food products to set a goal to ensure that 10% of the amount budgeted for food purchases in fiscal year 2018 was spent on local farm and food products. The goal increased to 20% for subsequent fiscal years.

The law also required agencies to submit information annually about their local food procurement to the Bureau of Legislative Research. The Bureau of Legislative Research was instructed to submit an annual report to the Governor and the co-chairs of the Legislative Council, or the co-chairs of the Joint Budget Committee, if the General Assembly was in session.

The Bureau of Legislative Research fulfilled the reporting requirements in 2017 and 2018 by sending a form to agencies meeting the definition as defined in the Local Food Act. In 2017, the Bureau of Legislative Research surveyed 130 agencies and 103 (79.2%) responded. Of the 103 responses, 12 (11.7%) of the agencies reported they met the reporting criteria and 91 (88.3%) of the agencies reported that they did not meet the reporting criteria because they did not receive at least \$25,000 from the state to purchase food or food products. Based on the data received in fiscal year 2017, the median percentage of the food budget that was spent on local farm and food products was 8.0%.

In 2018, the Bureau of Legislative Research surveyed 146 agencies and 55 (37.7%) responded. Of the 55 responding agencies, 8 (14.5%) met the criteria for reporting and 47 (85.5%) reported that they did not meet the reporting requirements. Based on the data received in fiscal year 2018, the median percentage of the food budget that was spent on local farm and food products was 11.0%. A copy of the 2017 and 2018 Annual Reports can be found on the Department's website at agriculture.arkansas.gov/arkansas-department-of-agriculture-programs/the-local-food-farms-and-jobs-act.

Significant changes were made to the Local Food Act during the 2019 legislative session of the Arkansas General Assembly by Act 796 of 2019. The amendment requires agencies who receive at least \$25,000 a year from the state and offer a food service program to report annually on their local food procurement. It increased the local food procurement goal from 20% of the agency's purchases of food products to be spent on local farm and food products to at least 20%.

The amendment expanded the number of agencies required to report by changing the definition of "agency" to include institutes of higher education, child care facilities, after-school programs, state parks, state agencies, and designees under contract that receive at least \$25,000 a year from the state. Further, it expanded the duties of a program coordinator position to work with distributors and assist producers in gaining access to institutions, distribution networks, and food service operators. Finally, the amendment placed the responsibility to collect and report on local food procurement data with the Arkansas Department of Agriculture and required the annual report to be submitted to the House and Senate Committees on Agriculture, Forestry, and Economic Development. A copy of Act 796 of 2019 can be found on the Department's website at agriculture.arkansas.gov/arkansas-department-of-agriculture-programs/the-local-food-farms-and-jobs-act.

In 2019, the Arkansas Department of Agriculture (Department) partnered with the Access to Healthy Foods Research Group at Arkansas Children's Research Institute and the Office of Community Health and Research at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS) to prepare the annual report. An online survey was distributed to 1,980 agencies identified as receiving funding from the state at some level. Of the 1,980 who received the survey, 454 (22.9%) completed it. 176 (38.8%) met the criteria for reporting, and 278 (61.2%) did not meet the criteria for reporting because they did not receive state funding, did not operate a food service program, or both.

Based on the data received in fiscal year 2019, the median percentage of the food budget spent on local farm and food products was 15.0%. A copy of the 2019 Annual Report can be found on the Department's website at agriculture.arkansas.gov/arkansas-department-of-agriculture-programs/the-local-food-farms-and-jobs-act.

SUMMARY OF 2020 SUBMITTED REPORTS

In 2020, the Arkansas Department of Agriculture (Department) partnered with the Office of Community Health and Research at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS) to meet the requirements of the Local Food Act.

Beginning early in the year, the Department and UAMS worked to expand awareness and outreach about the Local Food Act by developing resources and providing technical assistance via email, phone, and online resources to agencies and distributors. In August, the Department launched a web page and hosted a webinar to provide key information. The web page has information about the Local Food Act, including a Frequently Asked Questions document, an eligibility infographic, and the 2019 Annual Report. Since the launch, the web page has had a total of 1,601 pageviews, including 823 unique pageviews with an average view time of 3 minutes.

The webinar was hosted on August 18 to give an overview of the Local Food Act and to facilitate a Q&A session. It was attended by 55 people, with 82 registered to attend. The webinar has received an additional 69 views on YouTube. A recording of the webinar and other information was added to the web page at agriculture.arkansas.gov/arkansas-department-of-agriculture-programs/the-local-food-farms-and-jobs-act.

The Department and UAMS initiated the 2020 reporting requirements by gathering contact information from the Arkansas Department of Finance and Administration, Arkansas Department of Education, and the Arkansas Department of Human Services for all potentially eligible agencies. The online survey was updated and reviewed by key stakeholders to improve clarity, and on August 5, 2020, it was distributed to 2,322 agencies identified as receiving funding from the state and/or operating a food service program. Agencies were given until October 27, 2020, to complete the survey. The Department and UAMS provided technical assistance to the agencies via email and phone communications while the survey was open.


Screening Questions

The goal of the first report question set was to determine if the recipient met the criteria for reporting local food procurement. To meet the reporting requirements, agencies must receive at least \$25,000 per year from the state and offer a food service program. Of the 2,322 agencies identified as receiving funding from the state or offering a food service program, 673 respondents (29.0%) completed the screening questions, and 1,649 (71.0%) agencies did not start the screening questions.


State Funding

The first question asked agencies how much state funding they received in fiscal year 2020, and 655 agencies responded. Of the 673 respondents, 116 (17.7%) reported not receiving any state funding, 120 (18.3%) agencies reported receiving \$1-24,999 in state funding, 39 (6.0%) agencies received \$25,000-49,999 in state funding, and 380 (58.0%) agencies received greater than or equal to \$50,000 in state funding.

Screening Questions




State Funding




Food Service Program

The second question asked agencies whether or not they offered a food service program, and 431 agencies responded. 354 (82.1%) reported having a food service program, and 77 (17.9%) reported not having a food service program.




Respondents Eligible for Full Report

Based on the answers to the state funding and food service program questions, 352 agencies (52.3%) met the criteria for reporting on the local food procurement goals and 321 agencies (47.7%) did not meet the criteria for reporting because they did not receive state funding, did not operate a food service program, or both. Of the 352 respondents who met the criteria for reporting, 261 (74.1%) completed the report by providing both their total food budget and their total local food budget, and answers to some or all the additional questions. 91 (25.9%) partially completed the report.³ All information from agencies who both partially completed the report and submitted a complete report was included in the analyses of each question and is reported below. For a complete list of respondents, please see Appendix A.



Type of Agency


Agencies were asked to identify their agency classification based on the categories detailed in the Local Food Act. Of the 352 agencies who met the criteria for reporting, 16 (4.5%) are institutes of higher education, 296 (84.1%) are child care facilities, one (0.3%) is a state park system, three (0.9%) are after-school programs, five (1.4%) are state agencies, and 31 (8.8%) are other types of institutions, including summer camps, library systems, out of school programs, senior programs, and community programs.



3. Responses were considered partially complete if some information was provided but the respondent did not provide both their total and local food budgets.

Type of Food Service Program


Agencies were asked to provide information about how their food service program was managed. Of the 352 respondents to this question, 264 (75.0%) self-operate their food service program, 68 (19.3%) use a food service management company, and 20 (5.7%) reported an "Other" category. Some of the other ways food service programs were operated include working with other local partner agencies, the Child and Adult Care Food Program, and a hybrid of self-operation and working with other food service programs.



Food and Beverage Procurement 🇺🇸

FY2020 Total Food Budget

Agencies were asked to report their annual food budget. 302⁴ agencies reported their food budget by providing the amount of funding they spent to purchase food products. The responses ranged from spending \$1,332.44 to \$7,600,000.00 annually with the middle amount (median⁵) of the range at \$174,478.50. All responses together totaled \$135,535,048.62.



- Two respondents reported spending more on local food than they reported for their total food budget, resulting in reporting greater than 100% local food spending, were excluded from analyses.
- The median is included in this report as a general representation of the central measure or middle number if the values were lined up sequentially from smallest to largest. Mean (also known as average) was not included because of the wide range in the reach and size of the agencies reporting.


FY2020 Total Food Budget Reach

Respondents were asked to describe the reach of their food service budgets. 287 agencies reported their agency food budget reach by providing the number of transactions, meals, or people served with their annual food budget. 21 agencies (7.3%) reported their reach by transactions, totaling 6,677,629 transactions with \$21,372,643.51 as the combined total budget. 234 agencies (81.5%) reported their reach by meals, totaling 61,449,782 meals with \$104,989,239.76 as the combined total budget. 32 agencies (11.1%) reported their reach by people, totaling 459,302 people with a combined total budget of \$5,957,602.78.

Number of Agencies	Reach Type	Total Reach	Combined Total Budget
21 (7.3%)	Transactions	6,677,629	\$21,372,643.51
234 (81.5%)	Meals	61,449,782	\$104,989,239.76
32 (11.1%)	People	459,302	\$5,957,602.78

FY2020 Total Local Food Budget

267 agencies reported the amount of money used to purchase local farm and food products. The responses ranged from spending \$0 to \$2,101,516.61 annually with the middle amount (median) of the range falling at \$20,000.00. All responses together totaled \$24,003,280.06.



Percentage of FY2020 Total Food Budget Spent on Local Food

261 agencies reported their total food budget and dollars spent on local farm and food products. The percentage of dollars spent on local farm and food products was calculated for each agency. The median percentage of dollars spent by agencies on local food was 12.0%. 107 agencies reported meeting the Local Food, Farms, and Jobs Act goal of 20% or more of their local food budget for FY2020 being spent on local food.

Local Farm and Food Vendors

Respondents who reported purchasing local farm and food products were asked to provide a list of vendors from whom they purchased those products. Vendors included distributors, farms, grocers, processors, and others. The most frequently used vendors were Hiland Dairy, Tyson, Sysco, Ben E. Keith, and Tankersley. For a full list of vendors, see Appendix B.

Hiland Dairy	41
Tyson	41
Sysco	35
Ben E. Keith	34
Tankersley	19

Agencies that reported purchasing local farm and food products were asked to provide a list of the products purchased. The categories reported were vegetables, fruit, dairy, protein, grains, non-dairy beverages, and combination foods. The most commonly reported local farm and food products purchased were sweet potatoes, apples, milk, chicken, bread, juice, and packaged items. For a full list of products, see Appendix C.

Policy Statement

The Local Food Act requires each agency to submit a policy statement signed by the executive head expressing a commitment to comply with the Local Food Act in the following fiscal year. 216 agencies submitted the required policy statement. The policy included the local procurement goal of “at least twenty percent (20%) of the agency’s purchases of food products is spent on local farm and food products.” For a full list of those who submitted a policy statement, please see Appendix A.

Agencies were asked whether they set an annual goal for local procurement for fiscal year 2020, and 286 agencies responded. 98 agencies (34.3%) reported setting an annual local procurement goal, and 188 (65.7%) did not set an annual goal.

These goals were primarily at or around 20% of the agency's total budget to be spent on local products, but other goals included increasing local procurement, exceeding prior years' goals, or implementing a new garden onsite. The graphic to the right is a visual representation of the most commonly used words in response to open-ended questions about local food procurement goals.


Agencies were then asked whether their local food goal was met in fiscal year 2020. 58 (59.2%) reported reaching their annual goal and 40 (40.8%) reported not meeting their goal.

Agencies were also asked an open-ended question about what helped them meet their local procurement goal. The most commonly reported facilitators to meeting procurement goals were the following:

- Establishing or expanding local food vendor relationships;
- Distributor technical assistance;
- Enhanced local food awareness; and
- Technical assistance from external providers.




Agencies that did not meet their goal were asked which resources would help them achieve their goals in the upcoming fiscal year. They were able to select multiple categories, and 36 agencies responded. 32 (88.9%) reported needing enhanced internal infrastructure, 26 (72.2%) reported needing local contacts, 19 (52.8%) reported needing more equipment, 7 (19.4%) reported needing additional funding, and 7 (19.4%) reported needing other resources. Other resources were, including increased staff capacity, the resolution of COVID-19 restrictions on food programs, and enhanced capacity of tracking software to improve local food tracking.



Local Purchasing Preference

Agencies were asked how they plan to meet their local procurement goals. They were able to select multiple categories, and 282 agencies responded. 139 (49.3%) indicated they intend to purchase local through their wholesale distributor like Sysco or Ben E. Keith, 89 agencies (31.6%) intend to work directly with a producer/grower/farmer/processor, 34 (12.1%) reported wanting to work with a cooperative, 32 (11.3%) reported they intend to grow products on site, and 19 (6.7%) reported wanting to work with a food hub. Additional answers included examples such as using federal purchasing programs through their schools and working with local stores and vendors to identify local products.




On-Site Gardens

Agencies were asked if they had any gardens currently on site, and 297 agencies responded. 57 (19.2%) reported having an on-site garden and 240 (80.8%) reported not having an on-site garden. Of those who reported having an on-site garden, a follow-up question asked for the number of gardens on site. All responses totaled 132 gardens.

Local Food Preference

Agencies were asked what product categories they were particularly interested in purchasing. They were able to select multiple categories, and 280 agencies responded. 237 (84.6%) were interested in vegetables, 211 (75.4%) were interested in fruit, 151 (53.9%) in dairy, and 118 (42.1%) in meat, 88 (31.4%) in grains, 22 (7.9%) in non-dairy beverages, and 21 (7.5%) in fish.




Motivators, Barriers, and Opportunities

Motivators for Purchasing Local Food

Respondents were asked to describe the factors that motivated their agencies to procure local farm and food products. They were able to select multiple categories, and 280 agencies responded. 163 (58.2%) were motivated by wanting to meet the requirements of the Local Food Act, 127 (45.4%) were looking to acquire higher quality products, 98 (35.0%) wanted to spur economic development in their community and state, 80 (28.6%) were motivated by increased health benefits for customers and/or consumers, 44 (15.7%) were following policies within their agency, 26 (9.3%) had a directive from their administration, 24 (8.6%) saw demand from their clients and/or consumers for local products, and 14 (5%) were encouraged by the positive press and media due to purchasing local products.

Seven (2.5%) responded with other motivators, which included factors related to cost (lower costs, a financial reimbursement, or supplement) and availability of products in their area (a list of available products, the ability for suppliers to deliver to them, or the amount they can produce).


Motivators for Purchasing Local Food



Barriers to Purchasing Local Food

Respondents were asked to identify their top three barriers to considering or purchasing local farm and food products. They were able to select multiple categories, and 286 agencies responded. 141 (49.3%) reported a lack of supply of local products, 134 (46.9%) reported insufficient information on where to purchase products, 105 (36.7%) indicated the cost was too high, 74 (25.9%) shared that local products were not being offered by their distributor, 62 (21.7%) shared insufficient knowledge of how to purchase local products, 44 (15.4%) are unsure how to justify purchasing local products, and 22 (7.7%) indicated the local products didn't meet food safety requirements. Additional barriers included the belief that their food service program would not allow purchasing contracts that limit food product suppliers.

Barriers to Purchasing Local Food



Opportunities for Purchasing Local Food

Agencies were asked if there was anything that had facilitated the expansion of local procurement for their agency in the previous year. They were able to select multiple categories, and 290 agencies responded. 18 agencies (6.2%) reported resources that facilitated their local food procurement program expansion and 272 (93.8%) reported that resources did not. Of the 18, 6 (33.3%) reported improved access to existing vendors, 4 (22.2%) reported access to a new vendor, and 4 (22.2%) mentioned improved knowledge about how to purchase local foods. A variety of other resources including internal programming, COVID-19 impacts, and ability to use local manufacturers for commodity items were reported.

COVID-19

Respondents were asked if the COVID-19 pandemic disrupted their normal food service program. Out of 264 respondents, 229 (86.7%) said the COVID-19 pandemic did impact their food service program and 35 (13.3%) said it did not impact their food service program. The 229 respondents who indicated yes were asked an open-ended follow-up question about how their food service program was disrupted. Answers included facility closures, difficulty accessing food products, decreased food program participation, and pivoting of services to be COVID-compliant.

All respondents were then asked if the COVID-19 pandemic impacted their ability to procure local food in the previous fiscal year. Out of 264 respondents, 92 (34.9%) said COVID-19 did impact their ability to procure local food and 172 (65.1%) said it did not impact their ability to procure local food. The 92 respondents who said yes were asked an open-ended follow-up question about how COVID-19 impacted their ability to procure local food. Answers included institutional closures, funding restrictions, decreased institutional capacity, COVID-19 social distancing restrictions, and an inability to procure local food due to supply and accessibility.

CONCLUSION

The Local Food, Farms, and Jobs Act (Local Food Act) is a “farm to institution” policy, which aims to increase the purchase of locally grown and processed food products in institution-based food service programs across the state of Arkansas.

Procuring products from within the state spurs local economic development by engaging Arkansas farms and producers. In addition, farm to institution practices can provide healthier, fresher food choices to students, employees, and others eating in these institutions while also engaging and educating consumers about the value of eating healthy, local food. Potential benefits of farm to institution practices range from creating a stable market for local products, increasing participation in meal programs, and increasing community awareness of local farming and food systems.

Based on the fiscal year 2020 data, the Arkansas Department of Agriculture (Department) will work to respond to the motivators, barriers, and opportunities identified by agencies. The 2021 goals for the Department, in partnership with the Office of Community Health and Research at the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences (UAMS), are:

- Identify which agencies in the state meet the eligibility requirements for reporting based on receiving at least \$25,000 from the state and offering a food service program;
- Improve the reporting instrument based on key stakeholder feedback;
- Provide technical assistance to institutions;
- Develop lists, resources, and tools that connect institutions to the supply chain;
- Work with distributors to help them identify local farm and food products; and
- Work with farmers and producers to connect them to institutions.

Beyond the Local Food Act, the Department’s support for farm to institution includes a Farm to School and Early Childhood Education Program and the Arkansas Grown, Arkansas Made, and Homegrown By Heroes Programs. The Department commits staff support to work with partners to: 1) deepen our understanding of the stakeholder needs of farmers, aggregators, processors, distributors, institutional buyers, and consumers; 2) foster and support this network; and 3) share best practices identified in our state and across the country.

The Department is honored to support the goals of the Local Food Act and is pleased to submit the Local Food, Farms, and Jobs Act 2020 Annual Report. The Department appreciates the assistance from our partners who provided contact information for agencies and support with developing, administering, and analyzing data from the online survey to make this report possible. For more information about the report, please contact Sarah Lane or Karen Reynolds at the Arkansas Department of Agriculture.



Sarah Lane
Farm to School and Early Childhood
Education Program Coordinator

Arkansas Department of Agriculture
1 Natural Resources Drive, Little Rock, AR 72205
(501) 251-3019
sarah.lane@agriculture.arkansas.gov
agriculture.arkansas.gov



Karen Reynolds
Grants Program Manager

Arkansas Department of Agriculture
1 Natural Resources Drive, Little Rock, AR 72205
(501) 251-3019
karen.reynolds@agriculture.arkansas.gov
agriculture.arkansas.gov

APPENDIX A RESPONDENTS



Per Act 796 of 2019, the list of respondents will be identified and publicly listed. 673 agencies responded to the screening questions that determined their eligibility to complete a full report. 352 agencies (52.3%) met the criteria for full reporting and 321 (47.7%) did not meet the criteria.

Respondents are listed by agency type: institution of higher education, child care facility, state park, after-school program, state agency or other entity of the state, or other. Of the agencies who met the criteria, they are further broken down by completing a full report or partially completing the full report.⁶ Agencies who submitted a signed policy statement outlining their commitment to local food procurement have an asterisk (*) next to their name below. For a full list of non-responders, please contact LFFJAHelp@arkansas.gov.

Institutes of Higher Education

This agency group includes colleges and universities. 31 agencies responded to the screening questions. Of the 31 respondents, 16 (51.6%) were eligible to complete the full report and 15 (48.4%) did not meet the criteria for full reporting.

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Complete Reports)

Arkansas State University*	Crowley's Ridge College	University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences*
Arkansas State University - Beebe*	Henderson State University*	University of Arkansas*
Arkansas Tech University*	Lyon College*	University of Central Arkansas*
Black River Technical College*	National Park College*	
Cossatot Community College of the University of Arkansas*	University of Arkansas - Little Rock*	

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Partial Reports)

Arkansas State University - Beebe	Arkansas State University - Jonesboro	University of Arkansas - Fort Smith*
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Did Not Meet Criteria for Full Reporting

Arkansas Baptist College*	Northwest Arkansas Community College	University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture (Cooperative Extension Service)
Arkansas State University System - Office	Northwest Technical Institute	University of Arkansas System
Arkansas State University - Three Rivers	Phillips Community College of the University of Arkansas	University of Arkansas System - Criminal Justice Institute
Central Baptist College	Southeast Arkansas College	University of Arkansas System - Division of Agriculture
East Arkansas Community College	University of Arkansas Community College - Batesville	
John Brown University		

6. Respondents who submitted total food budget and local food budget numbers were considered "complete." Those who submitted some information but did not answer those two questions were considered "partial" completers.

Child Care Facilities

This agency group includes K-12 schools, early care and education facilities (early childhood education centers, home-based child care centers, etc.), and alternative learning environments (juvenile detention centers, youth residential treatment facilities, and other child care facilities). 513 agencies responded to the screening questions. Respondents further indicated which of the above grouping was most appropriate. Of the 513 respondents, 296 (57.7%) were eligible to complete the full report and 217 (42.3%) did not meet the criteria for full reporting.

K-12 Schools

Of the 237 respondents for K-12 schools, 186 (78.5%) were eligible to complete the full report and 51 (21.5%) did not meet the criteria for full reporting.

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Complete Reports)

Alma School District*	Cross County School District*	Guy-Perkins School District*
Arkansas Arts Academy*	Crossett School District*	Haas Hall Academy*
Arkansas School for the Blind*	Decatur Public School*	Hamburg School District*
Ashdown School District*	Deer-Mt. Judea School District*	Harmony Grove School District (Ouachita and Dallas County)*
Augusta School District	Des Arc School District*	Harrisburg School District*
Batesville School District*	DeWitt School District*	Harrison School District*
Bearden Public Schools*	Dover School District*	Hillcrest School District*
Beebe Public Schools*	Dumas Preschool*	Hope Public Schools*
Benton School District	Dumas School District*	Huntsville School District*
Bentonville Public Schools*	East End School District*	Imboden Area Charter School*
Bergman School District*	East Poinsett County School District*	Immaculate Conception School*
Berryville School District*	England School District*	Izard County Consolidated School District*
Blevins School District	Exalt Academy of Southwest Little Rock*	Jasper School District*
Blytheville School District	Farmington Public Schools*	Jessieville School District*
Booneville Public Schools*	Fayetteville School District*	Johnson County Westside School District*
Brinkley School District*	Flippin School District*	Jonesboro Public School District*
Brookland Public Schools*	Fordyce School District*	KIPP Delta Public Schools*
Bryant Public Schools*	Foreman School District*	Kirby School District*
Cabot School District*	Forrest City School District*	Lake Hamilton School District*
Caddo Hills School District*	Fort Smith Public Schools*	Lakeside School District*
Calico Rock School District*	Fouke School District*	Lamar School District*
Camden Fairview School District	Friendship Aspire Academy*	Lawrence County School District*
Carlisle School District*	Future School of Fort Smith*	Lee County School District*
Cedarville Public Schools*	Genoa Central School District*	Lighthouse Academy of Arkansas*
Centerpoint School District*	Gentry School District*	Lighthouse Academy of Central Arkansas*
Clarksville Public School*	Glen Rose School District*	Lighthouse Academy of Pine Bluff*
Clinton School District*	Gosnell School District	Little Rock School District*
Conway Public Schools*	Green Forest School District*	Lonoke Public School District*
Corning School District*	Greenbrier School District*	
Cossatot River School District*	Greenwood School District*	
Cotter Public Schools*		

Magazine Public School*	Ozark Mountain School District	Spring Hill School District*
Magnolia School District*	Ozark School District*	Springdale Public Schools*
Malvern School District*	Pangburn School District*	Star City School District*
Mammoth Spring School District*	Parkers Chapel School District*	Strong-Huttig School District*
Manila Public Schools*	Pea Ridge School District*	Texarkana Arkansas School District*
Mansfield Public Schools*	Pocahontas School District*	Trumann School District*
Marion School District*	Prairie Grove School District*	Two Rivers School District*
Marked Tree School District*	Prescott School District*	Valley View School District*
Mayflower School District*	Prism Education Center*	Van Buren School District*
Maynard School District*	Pulaski County Special School District*	Vilonia School District*
McCrory School District*	Quitman Public Schools*	Warren School District*
McGehee School District*	Rector School District*	Watson Chapel School District*
Melbourne Public School District*	Rivercrest School District*	West Fork Public School*
Mena Public Schools*	Riverside School District*	West Side School District*
Midland School District*	Rogers Public Schools*	White County Central School District*
Monticello School District*	Rose Bud School District*	White Hall School District*
Mount Ida School District*	Russellville School District*	Wonderview School District*
Mountain Home School District*	Searcy Special School District*	Wynne Public Schools
Mountain View School District*	Sheridan School District*	Yellville-Summit School District*
Mountainburg Public Schools*	Shirley School District*	
Nashville School District*	Sloan-Hendrix School District*	
Newport Special School District*	Smackover-Norphlet School District*	
Norfolk School District*	South Conway County School District*	
North Little Rock School District*	South Side Bee Branch School District*	
Ouachita School District*		

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Partial Reports)

Arkansas School for the Deaf*	Hoxie School District	Nemo Vista School District*
Bay School District*	Jackson County School District	Nevada School District*
Bearden School District	Jacksonville North Pulaski School District	Osceola School District*
Buffalo Island Central School District*	Junction City School District*	Ouachita River School District
Concord Public School*	Lafayette County School District	Paragould School District*
County Line School District	Lead Hill School District	Poyen School District*
Dardanelle School District*	LISA Academy Public Charter Schools*	ScholarMade Achievement Place of Arkansas*
Emerson-Taylor-Bradley School District	Mineral Springs School District*	Southside School District*
eStem Public Charter Schools*	Mulberry/Pleasant View Bi-County School*	Western Yell County School District
Gurdon Public School*		Westside Consolidated School

Did Not Meet Criteria for Full Reporting

Academy of Excellence	Earle School District	Perryville School District
Alpena School	Elkins School District	Piggott School District
Arkadelphia Public Schools*	Fountain Lake School District	Pine Bluff School District
Armored School District	Grace Lutheran School	Pottsville School District
Atkins School District	Graduate Arkansas, Inc.*	Riverview School District
Barton School District*	Greene County Tech School District 1	Salem School District
Bauxite School District	Greene County Tech School District 2	Scranton School District
Benton Harmony Grove 1	Hazen School District	Searcy County School District
Benton Harmony Grove 2	Heber Springs School District	Siloam Springs School District*
Cave City School District	Hector School District*	Spring Hill School - Hope
Cedar Ridge School District*	Hermitage School District	St. Michael Catholic School
Charleston County School District	Horatio School District*	St. John's Catholic School
Cleveland County School District	Lincoln Consolidated School District*	Union Christian Academy
Danville Public Schools	Mountain Home Christian Academy	Valley Springs School District
Dermott School District	Mt. Vernon-Enola School District	Viola Public Schools
Dierks School District	Nettleton Public Schools*	Waldron School District
Drew Central School District	Ozark Adventist School	Woodlawn School District

Early Care and Education Facilities

Of the 261 respondents for early care and education, 98 (37.5%) were eligible to complete the full report and 163 (62.5%) did not meet the criteria for full reporting.

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Complete Reports)

ABC Childrens Academy*	Eureka Springs Pre-K*	Little Miracles Daycare*
Academy of Learning, Inc.	Every Arkansan, Inc	Lonoke First United Methodist Church Child Care Center*
Arkansas Early Learning, Inc.*	First Christian Church - Character Kids Daycare - DOCK Preschool	Mama Myrtles Learning Academy
Arkansas River Valley Action Council, Inc. (ARVAC)*	Fouke ABC Preschool - Fouke Public School*	MeMe's Learning Academy*
Austin Academy for Kids, LLC	Gertrude Remmel Butler Methodist Child Development Center, Inc.*	Milestones Services, Inc.*
Bentonville Childcare and Development Center*	Greenwood Learning Center	Miss Beth's Precious Garden Child Care
Bigelow Assembly of God - Community Christian Academy	Jellybean Junction Preschool, Inc.*	Mreaton Childcare Center, LLC
Bright Ideas Enrichment Center*	JLM Ventures*	Open Arms Learning Center, Inc.*
Bright Start Learning Center	Kiddie Einsteins LLC*	Pulaski Heights United Methodist Church - Children's Learning Center*
Bryant Pre-K*	Kiddie Kingdom Learning Center, LLC*	Quality Child Care, Inc. - Cabot*
Building Bridges Developmental and Community Services, Inc.*	Kiddieville Christian Early Learning Center*	Quality Child Care, Inc. - Conway*
Bumble Bee Academy Inc.*	Kids Care & Development Center, Inc.	Quality Child Care, Inc. - Fort Smith*
Buttons & Bows Child Care Center*	La Petite Academy*	Quality Child Care, Inc. - Little Rock*
Central Child Care Center Inc*	Lil' Treasures Learning Academy*	Quality Child Care, Inc.*
		Sandy's Daycare, Inc.

Savvy Kids Learning Center, Inc.	The Learning Center of North Arkansas, Inc.*	Warren ABC Preschool - Southeast Arkansas Community Based Education Center*
Sylvan Hills Learning Center*	The Pre-School, Inc. dba Loyd's Little Land*	Western Arkansas Child Development, Inc.*
Teach and Tend Day Care Center*	The Sandbox Childcare Center	Westside Kids Day Out*
The Francis A. Allen School for Exceptional Children, Inc.*	UAMS Kids First - Warren*	
The Hunny Tree 1	Van Buren School District ABCSS Program	
The Hunny Tree 2		

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Partial Reports)

Alexander-Turner Child Development Center	Conway Cradle Care	NAPC/Flippin Preschool
Apple Blossom Child Development Center	Crossett Pre-K Program	Northwest Arkansas Head Start Human Services, Inc.*
ARcare Center for Education and Wellness	Dawson Education Co-op ABCSS	Ozark Opportunities, Inc.
Bald Knob Early Childhood Center*	Global Academy Inc.	Play School Daycare Center, Inc.
Bright Beginnings Child Care Center, Inc.	Greenwood Preschool Center	Rockefeller Early Childhood Center
Bright Haven LLC	Kids Unlimited Learning Academy Inc*	Rogers Public Schools Pre-K Program
Brighter Beginnings Child Care Center*	Kirsty's Place West	Shannon Hills Learning Center LLC
Childcare Network #301	La Petite Academy	Springdale Pre-K Program
City Kids Studios, Inc dba Room to Bloom Children's Center	Learning Care Group, Inc./La Petite Academy	Tender Hearts Learning Center
Community Action Program for Central Arkansas (CAPCA)	Little Feet Street	Texarkana Special Education Center
Community Counseling, Inc.	Little Sprouts Learning Center*	Trinity's Treasures
Community Service Office, Inc. (Hot Springs Garland County)*	Loving Hands Child Care	UAMS Head Start/Early Head Start
	Mansfield ABC, Inc.*	Walker's Learning Academy
	Mary's Little Lamb Childcare and Preschool	Wonderview School District Preschool ABC
	Miss Beth's Precious Garden Child Care	

Did Not Meet Criteria for Full Reporting

ABC Preschool	Beyond Academy	Cleveland County School District Head Start ABC
A Happy Camper's Preschool and Nursery, Inc	Bloom Pediatric Academy	Columbia Christian School Early Childhood Education Center
Advantages of Southeast Arkansas, Inc.	Bright Beginnings Home Child Care*	Cornerstone Children's Center
Ana's Angels Academy	Bright Beginnings Learning Center of Arkadelphia, Inc.	Cornerstone Montessori Christian Academy LLC
ANH Montessori School	Bright Beginnings Preschool	Country Kids Child Care
Arkansas River Valley Action Center, Inc. (ARVAC) - Perry Head Start	Cabot Montessori School, Inc.	Country Kids Daycare
Arkansas River Valley Action Council, Inc. (ARVAC) - Coal Hill	Cadence Academy Preschool	Courtney's Castle
Asbury Christian CDC	Carolyn's Learning Center	Creative Minds Childcare LLC
Barbara's Daycare	Central Arkansas Montessori	Curtis Kindergarten and Preschool*
	Childcare Network #269	
	Christian Scholars Learning Academy	

Cutie Pies Childcare & Preschool	Keep and Teach Preschool and Daycare	Oaklawn Baptist Preschool
Debbie's Home Daycare	Kidd's Korner School	Our Own Little World Daycare Inc.
DeQueen Mena Educational Service Cooperative	Kids & Company CDC	Ozark Valley Day School
DeWitt Daycare	Kids A Cat A Me	Paris School District - Bright Opportunities Program*
Discovery Island Learning Center	Kids Kingdom Child Care Center	Pauline Baptist Church Child Care Center
Economic Opportunity Agency of Washington County	KidSpace	Pea Ridge Pre-K
Ellen Smith Head Start	Kings and Queens of Destiny Development Center	Pinson Park Preschool
Emily's Place Daycare and Learning Center	Lake Nixon Outdoor Preschool	Play Learn School
England Elementary Pre-K	Lakeside Preschool, Inc	Primrose School of West Little Rock
Family First Childcare	Laugh N Learn Academy LLC	Primrose United Methodist Church Child Care Center
Fayetteville Creative Schools, Inc.	Lincoln Childcare Center, Inc	Programs for Children and Families in the Delta
Fayetteville School District Pre-K Program	Linda's Kids Daycare	Pulaski County Special School District Pre-K Program
First Baptist Children's Center	Lisa's Lollipop Land	Pulaski Heights Baptist Church Day School
First Baptist Church Weekday Early Education Care	Little Dumplings Child Care Center	Raising Hope Preschool and Daycare
First Friends Preschool	Little Einstein Montessori School	Raja LLC
First Place Academy	Little Go-Devils Childcare	Rees Kids Home Day Care
First School of First United Presbyterian Church	Little Hands Enrichment Center	Ridgeview Learning LLC SBA Teachers' Pet Preschool of NLR
First Steps Daycare LLC	Little Lambs Learning Center	Rosby's Learning Child Care Center
First Steps of Life	Little Steps Childcare Center LLC	Salem Lutheran School
First United Methodist Church	Little Sunshines LLC	SCUS Head Start Programs, Inc.*
First United Methodist Church Child Enrichment Center	Little Tadpoles Preschool	Sherry Bunch, Inc dba From The Beginning
First United Methodist Church Mothers Day Out	Lou Berry's Daycare	Shinn's Preschool and Nursery
First United Methodist Preschool	Luv N Hugs Daycare	Shonda's Sunshine Care
Grace Lutheran Early Childhood Development Center	Maumelle Gymnastics and Cheer	Smart Start Preschool LLC
Grandma & Grandpa's Daycare	Miss Cherie's Daycare	SooieKidz Nonprofit dba The Hill School
Great Beginnings Daycare - Fort Smith School District	Miss Kathy's Daycare	Special Care Preschool and Daycare
Hale's Day Care	Miss Polly's Day Care Center*	St. Anne's Childcare
Heavenly Care Preschool	Miss Tina's Preschool Inc.	St. John Catholic School Pre-K
Hobson Preschool	Montessori School of Jonesboro	St. John's Lutheran Child Care Center
Immanuel Baptist Church GO Center Preschool	Mother's Touch Preschool	St. Joseph Preschool
In His Care Children's Center	Mrs. Alice's Daycare	St. Luke's Day School
Infant Development Care & Nursery School	Mrs. Crystal's Childcare	St. Paul Lutheran Preschool
Joyful Days Daycare	Mrs. Michale's Kiddie Korner	Sue Meeks Home Daycare
Judy's Childcare Center	Ms. Becky's Family Home Daycare	Sunnyside Preschool
	Ms. Carol's Daycare	Sunshine Montessori
	Ms. Connie's Home Daycare	Sylvan Hills United Methodist Church
	Ms. Karrie's House*	
	My Little Blessing Academy	
	Ms. Diana's Pride and Joy Child Care Center	
	Natural State Montessori Inc	

Early Learning Center	Tiny Toes Daycare	Union Christian Preschool
The Fletcher's Family Childcare	Trinity Prep Day School	Unity Health Child Development Center
The Growing Tree Academy	Trisha's Child Care	Walnut Farm Montessori School
The House of Harmony	Tumbles Daycare Center	Wiggles & Giggles Daycare
The Lovan Express	UAMS Kids First* 1	WILD About Learning Academy
This Little Light LLC	UAMS Kids First 2	Winston's Tender Care DCFH
Tina Preschool	UAMS Kids First 3	Woodland Academy Pre-K
Tiny Giggles Child Care	UAMS Kids First 4	
Tiny Stars Preschool	UAMS Kids First - Mountain View	

Alternative Learning Environments

Of the 15 respondents for alternative learning environments, 12 (80.0%) were eligible to complete the full report and 3 (20.0%) did not meet the criteria for full reporting.

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Complete Reports)

Consolidated Youth Services, Inc.*	Residential Treatment Center - Little Rock*	White River Regional Juvenile Detention Center*
Friendship Community Care, Inc*	MKJD, LLC dba STARS Academy*	Youth Home Inc.
Methodist Children's Home	Pulaski County Juvenile Detention Center* 1	
Residential Treatment Center - Bono*		
Methodist Children's Home		

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Partial Reports)

Jack Jones Juvenile Justice Center	Ouachita Children's Center Inc.*	Pattillo Center School
Lawrence County Cooperative School, Inc.*		

Did Not Meet Criteria for Full Reporting

Second Chance Youth Ranch	Haven House	Pulaski County Juvenile Detention Center* 2
---------------------------	-------------	---

State Park

One agency responded to the screening questions. Of the one respondent, one (100%) was eligible to complete the full report and 0 (0%) did not meet the criteria for full reporting.

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Complete Reports)

Arkansas State Parks

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Partial Reports)

NA

Did Not Meet Criteria for Full Reporting

NA

After-School Program

16 agencies responded to the screening questions. Of the 16 respondents, 3 (18.8%) were eligible to complete the full report and 13 (81.3%) did not meet the criteria for full reporting.

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Complete Reports)

Boys & Girls Clubs of Saline County*

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Partial Reports)

Hot Springs Family YMCA*

Johnson County Westside –
Elementary

Did Not Meet Criteria for Full Reporting

Adam's Clubhouse - Quality Care For
Special Needs Children, Inc.

Jason Coates Enterprises LLC dba The
After School Program*

Sherwood Day Camp - Out of School
Time

AfterCare Express at Lakewood United
Methodist Church

Lakewood United Methodist Church
Mothers Day Out (AfterCare Express)

The After School Program

Beyond the Bell - Afterschool and
Summer Programs

Pleasant Grove Baptist Church

TOPPS, Inc. - Targeting Our People's
Priorities with Service*

CARE Program - City of Little Rock

Science After School - Mid-America
Science Museum

Walton Life Fitness Center - Out of
School Time

Excel Program LLC

State Agencies or Other Entities of the State

68 agencies responded to the screening questions. Of the 68 respondents, 5 (7.4%) were eligible to complete the full report and 63 (92.6%) did not meet the criteria for full reporting.

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Complete Reports)

Arkansas Commission on Law
Enforcement Standards and Training*

Arkansas Department of Human
Services*

Arkansas Rehabilitation Services*
Arkansas State Police*

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Partial Reports)

Arkansas Game and Fish Commission* 1

Did Not Meet Criteria for Full Reporting

Arkansas Auctioneers Licensing Board
Arkansas Board of Licensure for
Professional Engineers & Professional
Surveyors

Arkansas Bureau of Legislative Research

Arkansas Code Revision Commission

Arkansas Department of Agriculture

Arkansas Department of Commerce
- Arkansas Insurance Department -
Public Employee Claims Division

Arkansas Department of Commerce -
Division of Aeronautics

Arkansas Department of Corrections -
Division of Community Correction

Arkansas Department of Corrections -
Division of Correction

Arkansas Department of Education -
Division of Elementary and Secondary
Education (DESE)

Arkansas Department of Finance
& Administration - Office of
Administrative Services

Arkansas Department of Health

Arkansas Department of Health -
Social Work Licensing Board

Arkansas Department of Inspector
General

Arkansas Department of Labor and
Licensing 1

Arkansas Department of Labor and
Licensing 2

Arkansas Department of Labor and
Licensing - Workers' Compensation
Commission

Arkansas Department of Parks, Heritage
and Tourism - Secretary's Office

Arkansas Department of Public Safety
- Arkansas Division of Emergency
Management

Arkansas Department of Public Safety
- Arkansas Law Enforcement Training
Academy

Arkansas Division of Career and
Technical Education

Arkansas Division of Workforce Services 1

Arkansas Division of Workforce Services 2

Arkansas Economic Development
Commission

Arkansas Educational Television
Network (AETN)

Arkansas Ethics Commission

Arkansas Fair Housing Commission

Arkansas Game and Fish Commission* 2

Arkansas Geographic Information
Systems Office

Arkansas Insurance Department

Arkansas Manufactured Home
Commission

Arkansas Minority Health Commission

Arkansas Parole Board

Arkansas Public Employees
Retirement System

Arkansas Public Service Commission

Arkansas Rice Research and
Promotion Board

Arkansas Securities Department

Arkansas Spinal Cord Commission

Arkansas State Bank Department

Arkansas State Board of Architects
- Landscape Architects and Interior
Designers

Arkansas State Board of Barber
Examiners

Arkansas State Board of Chiropractic
Examiners

Arkansas State Board of Collection
Agencies

Arkansas State Board of Nursing

Arkansas State Board of Pharmacy

Arkansas State Board of Public
Accountancy

Arkansas State Library

Arkansas State Medical Board

Arkansas Teacher Retirement System

Arkansas Veterans' Child Welfare

Arkansas Veterinary Medical
Examining Board

Board of Hearing Instrument
Dispensers

Bureau of Legislative Research

Capitol Zoning District Commission

Contractors Licensing Board

Crowley's Ridge Educational Service
Cooperative

Disability Determination for Social
Security Administration

Fire Protection Licensing Board

Judicial Discipline and Disability
Commission

Office of Skills Development*

Office of the Prosecutor Coordinator

Ozark Unlimited Resource Educational
Service Cooperative

State of Arkansas Military Department

Other

44 other agencies responded to the screening questions. Of the 44 respondents, 31 (72.1%) were eligible to complete the full report and 13 (27.9%) did not meet the criteria for full reporting.

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Complete Reports)

American Consulting	Area Agency on Aging of West Central Arkansas	Area Agency on Aging of Western Arkansas, Inc. - Paris Senior Center*
Anderson's Taekwondo Center Camp Positive	Area Agency on Aging of Western Arkansas, Inc. - Cossatot Senior Center* 1	Area Agency on Aging of Western Arkansas, Inc. - Scott County Senior Center*
Area Agency on Aging of Central Arkansas Inc. dba CareLink*	Area Agency on Aging of Western Arkansas, Inc. - Cossatot Senior Center* 2	Bost, Inc.
Area Agency on Aging of Northwest Arkansas*	Area Agency on Aging of Western Arkansas, Inc. - Mena Polk County Senior Citizens* 1	Broadmoor Baptist Food Pantry
Area Agency on Aging of Southeast Arkansas, Inc. 1	Area Agency on Aging of Western Arkansas, Inc. - Mena Senior Citizens* 2	Camp War Eagle
Area Agency on Aging of Southeast Arkansas, Inc.* 2		Central Arkansas Development Council
Area Agency on Aging of Southwest Arkansas, Inc.*		Community Counseling Services Inc.
		Community Counseling, Inc.*

Met Criteria for Full Reporting (Partial Reports)

Area Agency on Aging of East Arkansas*	Faulkner County Council on Aging, Inc.*	Joseph Pfeifer Kiwanis Camp*
Conway County Center for Exceptional Children, Inc.*	Franklin County Senior Citizens Center, Inc.*	Living Hope Southeast, LLC 1
Counseling & Education Center, Inc	Jacksonville Senior Wellness & Activity Center	Living Hope Southeast, LLC 2
		Living Hope Southeast, LLC 3
		Living Hope Southeast, LLC 4

Did Not Meet Criteria for Full Reporting

Lonoke County Council on Aging, Inc.*	Network of Community Options, Inc.*	Southeast Arkansas Behavioral Healthcare System, Inc.*
Methodist Behavioral Health	Opaa! Food Management Inc. 1	The Doni Martin Center for Developmental Services, Inc
Harrisburg School Based Mental Health	Opaa! Food Management Inc. 2	Trinity Services Group
Mid-Delta Community Services, Inc.*	Ouachita Behavioral Health and Wellness	
Monroe County Human Development Center	Ozark Guidance Center Inc.	
	Pathfinder, Inc.*	

APPENDIX B LOCAL FOOD VENDORS



Agencies who reported purchasing local farm and food products were asked to provide a list of vendors from whom they purchased those products. Asterisk (*) indicates top two vendors used.

Distributor

Amazon	Kallsnick, Inc.	Pippin
Atkinson-Crawford Sales	KT Produce	Swan Distributing
Ben E. Keith	Marathon Ventures	Sysco Food Services
Dot Foods, Inc.	Martin Brower	Tankersley
Go Fresh Produce	New Age Distributing	Twin City Produce
Gordon Food Service	OK Food Inc.	US Bank CPS
Hutchison Distribution, Inc.	Performance Food Group	US Foods

Farm

A & A Orchards	Chapman Farms	Really Green Greens
ADC Farms	Clinton King	Red Farms
Arkansas Correctional Industries	Farmers Market - Various	Scatter Creek Berries and Produce
Arnolds Produce	Goodson Farms	Springboard Enterprises
Bar S Ranch	Healthy Flavors	Table Top Greens
Barnhill Orchards	Matthews Ridgeview Farms	The Red Barn
Bubby Rout	Pace Farms	Thompson Farms
Bunch Farms	Pfeifer Camp Garden	Vanzant Fruit Farms

Grocer

Bramble Market	K-Hall & Sons Produce	Springfield Grocery Company
Cash Saver	Kroger	Walmart
Family Market	Sam's Club	William R Hill
Harps Food		

Processor

Bimbo Bakeries	Harris Baking Company	Prairie Farms
C & K Breads	Hiland Dairy*	Robertsons Food
Coca-Cola Co. Bottling	Hillshire Farms	Sara Lee Foods Group
ConAgra	McKee	SGC/Mexican Original
Crouch Bakery	Mountain Bird Coffee	Sugar Creek
Flower's Bakery	Ole Mexican Foods	Tyson Foods*
Frito-Lay, Inc.	Petit Jean Meats	Unipro Beef IBP Direct
Good Old Days Food Inc.	Post Inc.	Yarnell's Ice Cream

Other

Friends	Neighbors	River Valley Food Bank
Jason's Deli	Preferred Meals Company	Valley Services, Inc.

APPENDIX C REPORTED LOCAL FARM AND FOOD PRODUCTS



Agencies who reported purchasing local farm and food products were asked to provide a list of products they purchased. An item listed with an asterisk (*) is one of the top local farm and food products reported per category as described by agencies in the report.

Vegetables

Carrots	Lettuce *	Swiss Chard
Cucumbers	Mushroom	Vegetables (Fresh) - Various *
Green Beans	Spinach	Vegetables (Canned) - Various
Greens - Various	Sweet Potatoes *	

Fruits

Apples *	Fruit - Various	Strawberries *
Blackberries	Lemon Juice	Tomatoes
Blueberries *	Pineapple	Watermelon *

Dairy

Cream Cheese	Ice Cream	Sour Cream
Fluid Milk - Various *	Yogurt - Various	

Protein

Beans	Chicken Products - Various *	Sausage
Bacon	Eggs *	Turkey
Beef Products - Various*		

Grains

Bread *	Frito-Lay Chips	Rice *
Cereal	Grain Products - Various	Tortillas
Crispitos	Grits	

Non-Dairy Beverages

Coffee Products	Juice *	Tea
-----------------	---------	-----

Combination

Cheese Pizza	Kosher Foods	Pre K Snacks
Cobbler Peach	NSLP Pizza	Sausage Pancake On Stick
Corn Dog	Packaged Items *	Un crustables
Hot Dogs	Pancake On Stick Blueberry	

Serving all Arkansans

WHILE PROTECTING AND PROMOTING ARKANSAS AGRICULTURE, FOOD,
FORESTRY, AND NATURAL RESOURCES



Arkansas Department of Agriculture
1 Natural Resources Drive
Little Rock, Arkansas 72205
agriculture.arkansas.gov

**LOCAL FOOD, FARMS, AND JOBS ACT
2020 ANNUAL REPORT**

v122920C