1 2	State of Arkansas 95th General Assembly	A Bill	
3	Regular Session, 2025		HOUSE BILL 1939
4	regular Session, 2020		THOUSE BILL 1989
5	By: Representative Joey Carr		
6	By: Senator J. English		
7	, c		
8	For An Act To Be Entitled		
9	AN ACT TO AMEND PROVISIONS OF THE ARKANSAS CODE		
10	CONCERNING TEACHER INCENTIVE AND MERIT PAY; TO AMEND		
11	REQUIREMENTS CONCERNING SUMMATIVE EVALUATIONS UNDER		
12	THE TEACHER	EXCELLENCE AND SUPPORT SYSTEM; TO	AMEND
13	PUBLIC SCHOO	L DISTRICT REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE	MERIT
14	TEACHER INCE	NTIVE FUND PROGRAM; AND FOR OTHER	
15	PURPOSES.		
16			
17			
18		Subtitle	
19	TO AME	ND ELIGIBILITY FOR TEACHER	
20	INCENT	IVE AND MERIT PAY; TO AMEND	
21	REQUIRE	EMENTS FOR SUMMATIVE EVALUATIONS;	
22	AND TO	AMEND SCHOOL DISTRICT	
23	REQUIRE	EMENTS UNDER THE MERIT TEACHER	
24	INCENT	IVE FUND PROGRAM.	
25			
26	BE IT ENACTED BY THE GEN	ERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ARKA	ANSAS:
27			
28	SECTION 1. Arkans	eas Code § 6-17-413, concerning year	arly incentive
29	bonuses for certain teachers with National Board for Professional Teaching		
30	Standards certification funding, is amended to add an additional subsection		
31	to read as follows:		
32	(g) A person shall not be qualified to receive funds under this		
33	section if he or she has not satisfied the requirements of this section by		
34	<u>July 1, 2025.</u>		
35			
36	SECTION 2. Arkans	as Code § 6-17-2805(c), concerning	g summative

1	evaluations under the Teacher Excellence and Support System, is amended to		
2	read as follows:		
3	(c) A summative evaluation shall:		
4	(1) Result in a written an evaluation determination, which may		
5	be done in writing or digitally, for the teacher's performance on all		
6	evaluation domains as a whole;		
7	(2) Use the evaluation framework and evaluation rubric		
8	appropriate to the teacher's role;		
9	(3)(A) Use multiple sources of evidence of the teacher's		
10	professional practice including direct observation, indirect observation,		
11	artifacts, and data.		
12	(B) Subdivision $(c)(3)(A)$ of this section does not require		
13	that every component of the domains be evidenced by artifacts when		
14	observation or data is used.		
15	(C) A school or school district may adopt policies to:		
16	(i) Incorporate peer observations and student		
17	feedback that contribute to the summative rating; and		
18	(ii) Substitute for the whole or any part of the		
19	summative evaluation any part of a teacher's work completed for the		
20	certification or renewal of a certification from the National Board for		
21	Professional Teaching Standards;		
22	(4) Include presentations of evidence chosen by the teacher, the		
23	evaluator, or both;		
24	(5) Provide an opportunity for the evaluator and teacher to		
25	discuss the review of evidence used in the evaluation; and		
26	(6) Provide feedback based on the evaluation rubric that the		
27	teacher can use to improve teaching skills and student learning.		
28			
29	SECTION 3. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2805, concerning summative evaluations		
30	under the Teacher Excellence and Support System, is amended to add additional		
31	subsections to read as follows:		
32	(e) In a year in which a public school does not perform a summative		
33	evaluation, the public school shall perform an annual rating that satisfies		
34	the requirements of the Merit Teacher Incentive Fund Program under § 6-17-		

(f) As used in this section, "annual rating" means a formative or

35

36

<u>2901 et seq.</u>

1	summative rating given at the end of each school year that is based on		
2	observations, which may be based on a teacher's professional growth plan, and		
3	that includes without limitation components within an evaluation framework as		
4	reported in the state-approved evaluation system.		
5			
6	SECTION 4. Arkansas Code § 6-17-2904(a), concerning eligibility for an		
7	award from the Merit Teacher Incentive Fund Program, is amended to read as		
8	follows:		
9	(a) Eligibility for an award from the Merit Teacher Incentive Fund		
10	Program shall include without limitation:		
11	(1)(A) A teacher who demonstrates outstanding growth in student		
12	performance, as determined by the Division of Elementary and Secondary		
13	Education.		
14	(B)(i) In determining whether a teacher has demonstrated		
15	outstanding growth in student performance, the division shall calculate a		
16	value-added growth model score for grades and subjects, where possible.		
17	(ii) The division shall develop rules to establish		
18	the process and procedure for public school districts to annually report data		
19	related to value-added models that includes without limitation:		
20	(a) Student test scores; and		
21	(b) Prior student performance by subject and		
22	school <u>.</u>		
23	(C) A public school district shall perform an annual		
24	rating at the end of each school year based on observations, which may be		
25	based on a teacher's professional growth plan, and that includes without		
26	limitation components within an evaluation framework as reported in the		
27	state-approved evaluation system;		
28	(2) Teachers serving as mentors to aspiring teachers;		
29	(3) Aspiring teachers participating in yearlong residencies;		
30	(4) Teachers instructing in subject areas or geographical areas		
31	identified as experiencing a critical shortage of teachers, including public		
32	schools that have historically been understaffed, as determined by the		
33	division; and		
34	(5) Other categories as defined by the state board State Board		
35	of Education.		

3